



**Higher National Qualifications**

**And**

**Scottish Vocational Qualifications**

**Senior Verifier Report**

**2007**

**Subject: HND Make up Artistry: 62**

The purpose of this report is to provide feedback to centres on verification which has taken place within Higher National and Scottish Vocational Qualifications in this subject.

---

## HIGHER NATIONAL GRADED UNITS

### TITLES/LEVELS OF HN GRADED UNITS VERIFIED

#### HND Make up Artistry F0WG 35 Graded Unit 2

### FEEDBACK TO CENTRES

#### General comments:

This is the first year of this award. Three centres were centrally verified one centre required further support. Marking of this centres material within the planning and developing stage of the unit did not meet the criteria of the marking scheme, resulting in candidates failing to achieve at this stage. Marking of the units was over generous for the grades given. Candidates had completed the unit early in the session. The verification team felt this disadvantaged the candidates because skills gained through the mandatory units had not been completed. This meant candidates had not the opportunity to practice the required skills for the Graded Unit. In all other centres the evidence was of a high standard but inconsistency within the marking, should be looked at. The marks given did not change the grades in the other centres. Marks in most cases could have been higher than given. The verification team felt that some development would be of value to the centres offering this award to give consistency in marking of this unit over all centres. Good use of differing evidence by candidates gave an excellent understanding of requirements for the companies chosen

#### Advice on good practice and areas for further development:

Photographic evidence was in most cases of a high standard and reflected the requirements for the chosen industry. The candidates' portfolios presented were of a professional standard. All areas of the unit planning, developing and evaluation were of a good standard. Candidates were very aware of the requirements of the unit and were innovative in the different ways they presented their portfolios. The research they had included for the chosen companies was of a high standard and the resulting portfolios reflected this. The verification team felt that one College had included more research than needed for the unit, and although it was to a high standard it was more than required, giving the candidates undue paperwork.

Each College had presented their work in an exhibition. CDs, Video, DVD and photographic material evidenced this. The verification team thought the evidence for this could be presented in one presentation which they could attend as part of central verification. Reasons for this were, the props made and used by candidates as evidence, although shown as photographic evidence, would be better viewed on site. Candidates had made all props and costumes, and the extent of the work, which had been planned and developed, was of a professional standard and deserved to be seen by Colleges who had offered the Award and Colleges who may wish to take this award forward.

Further development could be an awareness session for all presenting Colleges to give a further awareness of the marking scheme to achieve consistency over all. This could be by the use of materials produced by each centre who offered the Award