
Workshop 1 Health and Food Technology

Assignment

Commentaries on Candidate Evidence

Commentary on Health and Food Candidate A

The evidence that this candidate has achieved the following marks for each section of this Course Assessment component.

Section 1 A - Planning

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for the section because:

- Key issue 1 correct
- Key issue 2 correct- there are 2 possible issues here
- Explanation 1 - all relevant to brief
- Explanation 2 - second bullet gets the mark - first is vague

Section 1 B - Research

The candidate was awarded 5 marks for the section because

- Research technique 1 - internet research - sources given - 2 marks have been awarded for this piece of research as candidate has given a table of goods available but has then gone on to draw conclusions from which 2 can be taken forward
- Research technique 2 - interview - 1 mark awarded for this piece of research as the candidate has found out what is available and has stated that they will use some of these in their product
- Generating appropriate food ideas - 1 mark has been awarded for the descriptions of the products - 1 mark awarded for correctly commenting on the suitability for the brief of all dishes mentioned - this response considered good practice.

Section 1 C - The product idea

The candidate was awarded 5 marks for the section because

- 3 marks awarded for the section. Justify appropriate food product based on ideas generated from research - each box contains at least 1 relevant reason for choice
- Providing a recipe for manufacture of food idea - 0 marks for adaptation as none indicated - if candidate had stated that this was their own recipe, this would have gained a mark. Stating the ingredients are local is not a substantial change 2 marks awarded for the recipe as it could be replicated with accurate results.

Section 2 - Information about the Product

The candidate was awarded 11 marks for this section because

- Packaging was awarded 6 marks as there were 6 valid reasons mentioned.
- Labelling - 3 marks awarded for the main points of information found on a label - date, name, ingredient list (there are more) 2 marks for the explanations.

Section 3 - Product Testing

The candidate was awarded 6 marks for this section because

- 1 mark awarded for test
- 3 marks awarded for 3 points of information (see candidate guidance for up to date marking of this section)
- 0 marks awarded as candidate has tabulated the results however has not provided a valid star profile - the one they have included is not represented appropriately as it uses average data
- 2 marks awarded for conclusions, they are not well presented however are there.

Section 4 - Evaluating

The candidate was awarded 6 marks for this section because

- 2 marks awarded minimum response
- 1 mark awarded holistically
- 2 marks awarded - candidate has demonstrated an adaptation/improvement supported by a valid reason
- 1 mark awarded - candidate has given one holistic comment

Commentary on Health and Food Candidate B

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each section of this Course Assessment component.

Section 1 A - Planning

The candidate was awarded 3 marks for the section because

- Key issue 1 - 0 candidate has not included cafe in the issue
- Key issue 2 - correct
- Explanation 1 - acceptable reason
- Explanation 2 - acceptable reason

Section 1 B - Research

The candidate was awarded 10 marks for the section because

- Research technique 1 - internet research - 4 marks have been awarded for this piece of research as candidate has identified technique and source, has given information found and has drawn conclusions which can clearly take the brief forward
- Research technique 2 - questionnaire - 4 marks awarded for this piece of research as the questions are valid and the conclusions have 4 points which can be taken forward.
- Generating appropriate food ideas - 1 mark has been awarded for the descriptions of the products however these are considered to be minimal competence - 1 mark awarded for correctly commenting on the suitability for the brief of all 3 dishes.

Section 1 - C The product idea

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for the section because

- 2 marks awarded for the section. Justify appropriate food product based on ideas generated from research - reasons 1 + 2 are valid Reason 3 - 0 mark.
- Providing a recipe for manufacture of food idea - 1 mark for adaptation as candidate stated that this was their own recipe, 1 mark awarded for the recipe as it could not be replicated with accurate results as there were some errors

Section 2 - Information about the Product

The candidate was awarded 11 marks for this section because

- Labelling - 3 marks awarded for giving main points of information found on a label (more than 3 given) - name, description, ingredients list name and address of manufacturer. 3 marks each for the descriptions
- Nutritional information - 5 marks awarded. Source of information stated. Vitamin A description was not relevant to brief.

Section 3 - Product Testing

The candidate was awarded 7 marks for this section because

- 1 mark awarded for test
- 0 marks awarded for 3 points of information (see candidate guidance for up to date marking of this section) no marks because the candidate has not stated what they were looking for other than on the diagram
- 4 marks awarded as candidate has provided a star profile as they stated they would and has included all of their results on the diagram. There is also a key
- 2 marks awarded for conclusions as candidate has correctly commented on several aspects of the product - there are however only 2 marks available.

Section 4 - Evaluating

The candidate was awarded 1 mark for this section because

- 0 marks awarded - incorrect and not evaluative
- 0 marks awarded - incorrect
- 1 mark awarded - minimum response only adaptation no reason
- 0 marks awarded - not concluded well - 'fairly well' not adequate at this level

Commentary on Health and Food Candidate C

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each section of this Course Assessment component.

Section 1 A - Planning

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for the section because

- Key issue 1 correct - NB candidate has included 'sports centre' in brackets - if they had not done so they would still have achieved a mark as cafe is acceptable as a minimum answer however sports centre on its own is not.
- Key issue 2 correct
- Explanation 1 - both bullet point 1 + 2 acceptable
- Explanation 2 - each bullet point is acceptable for a mark as a minimum response

Section 1 B - Research

The candidate was awarded 2 marks for the section because

- Research technique 1 - interview - 1 mark has been awarded for this piece of research as candidate has identified technique and source however only 1 question - 2 - gives data that can be taken forward
- Research technique 2 - literary research - 0 marks awarded for this piece of research as it is a straight lift from a text book. There is no summary or indication of the relevance of the information to the brief. No indication of how the information moves the brief forward.
- Generating appropriate food ideas - 0 mark has been awarded for the descriptions of the products as the candidate has only indicated what could be included - 1 mark awarded for correctly commenting on the suitability for the brief of all 3 dishes.

Section 1 C - The product idea

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for the section because

- 2 marks awarded for the section. Justify appropriate food product based on ideas generated from research - reasons 1 + 2 the candidate has commented on an ingredient that would be in the dish and has made a link to health and exercise so 1 mark for each. Reason 3 - 0 mark
- Providing a recipe for manufacture of food idea - 0 marks for adaptation as none indicated - if candidate had stated that this was their own recipe, this would have gained a mark. 2 marks awarded for the recipe as it could be replicated with accurate results.

Section 2 - Information about the Product

The candidate was awarded 5 marks for this section because

- Costing - 0 marks awarded for this section as the candidate has not provided a source for the costing information plus they have not given unit costs which makes the costing invalid. There was also no indication of 'other' costs such as labour, energy used etc.
- Labelling - 3 marks awarded for the main points of information found on a label - nutritional information, weight, picture. 1 mark each for the descriptions of nutritional information and picture. 0 marks for description of weight as it is inaccurate.

Section 3 - Product Testing

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for this section because

- 1 mark awarded for test
- 3 marks awarded for 3 points of information (see candidate guidance for up to date marking of this section)
- 0 marks awarded as candidate has tabulated the results. However, has not provided a star profile as they stated they would (this would have gained marks if candidate had intended to complete a ratings test)
- 0 marks awarded for conclusions as candidate has incorrectly commented on the data

Section 4 - Evaluating

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for this section because

- 2 marks awarded minimum response - 1+2 only 3 not linked to brief
- 0 marks awarded
- 2 marks awarded - both marks come from the second bullet points candidate has given an adaptation and supported it with a valid reason
- 0 marks awarded as no evidence to support first point and second point is not valid.

Commentary on Health and Food Candidate D

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each section of this Course Assessment component.

Section 1 A - Planning

The candidate was awarded 3 marks for the section because

- Key issue 1 correct
- Key issue 2 correct
- Explanation 1 - no mention of baked product
- Explanation 2 - correct

Section 1 B - Research

The candidate was awarded 6 marks for the section because

- Research technique 1 - internet research - 1 mark has been awarded for this piece of research as candidate has identified technique and source and has listed products/ ingredients that could possibly take the brief forward however there is no summary or explanation
- Research technique 2 – questionnaire - 4 marks awarded for this piece of research as it is well set out, summarised and gives more than 4 ways of taking the brief forward. Also has reasonable number of respondents at this level
- Generating appropriate food ideas - 0 marks have been awarded for the descriptions of the products as the candidate has only indicated what could be included - too vague - 1 mark awarded for correctly commenting on the suitability for the brief of all 3 dishes.

Section 1 C - The product idea

The candidate was awarded 3 marks for the section because

- 2 marks awarded for the section. Justify appropriate food product based on ideas generated from research - reasons 1 + 2 the candidate has given minimum responses for the reasons - reason 3 is the same as reason 1 so doesn't get a mark
- Providing a recipe for manufacture of food idea - 0 mark for adaptation as the change that is stated is not considered substantial. 1 mark awarded for the recipe as it could possibly be replicated however there are some errors in the ingredients list - possibly a lift from a book/internet.

Section 2 - Information about the Product

The candidate was awarded 10 marks for this section because

- Labelling - 3 marks awarded for the main points of information found on a label - ingredients list, date marking, and storage instructions. 1 mark for the description of ingredients list however no marks for date mark/storage as both are too vague and do not have sufficient detail at this level.
- Packaging - 6 marks - well laid out and all correct.

Section 3 - Product Testing

The candidate was awarded 10 marks for this section because

- 1 mark awarded for test
- 3 marks awarded for 3 points of information (see candidate guidance for up to date marking of this section)
- 4 marks awarded as candidate has tabulated the results and has included all the information obtained and a key
- 2 marks awarded for conclusions as candidate has correctly commented on the data

Section 4 - Evaluating

The candidate was awarded 4 marks for this section because

- 1 mark awarded - only one evaluation included
- 1 mark awarded - only one evaluation included
- 2 marks awarded - very minimum response bullet point 1
- 0 marks awarded not an appropriate conclusion