

Higher National Unit specification: general information

This Graded Unit has been validated as part of the **PDA in Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation**. Centres are required to develop the assessment instrument in accordance with this validated specification. Centres wishing to use another type of Graded Unit or assessment instrument are required to submit proposals detailing the justification for change for validation.

Graded Unit title):	Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1
Graded Unit code:		FG5P 35
Type of Graded Unit:		Project
Assessment Instrument:		Investigation
Publication date:	July 2018	
Source:	Scottish Qua	lifications Authority
Version:	02	

Unit purpose

This Graded Unit is designed to provide evidence that the candidate has achieved the following principal aims of the PDA in Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation at SCQF level 8:

Enable first line managers, team leaders and supervisors to:

- 1 obtain the knowledge of the core management principles involved in effective customer service
- 2 develop an accurate and realistic insight into the effective management of customer service within Scottish local government and associated bodies
- 3 develop general management and other transferable skills within a customer service context
- 4 take ownership of customer service provision and actively develop solutions which will lead to improved organisational performance and customer experience
- 5 develop independent study and research skills both academically and in the workplace
- 6 interpret qualitative and quantitative data on customer service and draw on a range of sources in making judgments
- 7 work in ways that are reflective, self-critical and based on evidence

General information for (cont)

Recommended prior knowledge and skills

It is recommended that the candidate should have completed or be in the process of completing the following Units relating to the above specific aims prior to undertaking this Graded Unit:

- Applying the principles of customer service within a public sector organisation
- Implementing best practice in customer complaint handling within a public sector organisation
- Promoting excellent customer service within a public sector organisation

Credit points and level

1 Higher National Unit credit at SCQF level 8: (8 SCQF credit points at SCQF level 8*)

*SCQF credit points are used to allocate credit to qualifications in the Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework (SCQF). Each qualification in the Framework is allocated a number of SCQF credit points at an SCQF level. There are 12 SCQF levels, ranging from National 1 to Doctorates.

Core Skills:

There are opportunities to develop the Core Skills components listed below in this Unit, although there is no automatic certification of Core Skills or Core Skills components.

- Problem Solving (Critical Thinking) at SCQF level 6,
- Problem Solving (Planning and Organising) at SCQF level 6
- Problem Solving (Reviewing and Evaluating) at SCQF level 6
- Communication (Oral Communication) at SCQF level 6
- Written Communication (Reading) at SCQF level 6
- Written Communication (Writing) at SCQF level 6
- Working with Others (Working Co-operatively with Others) at SCQF level 6
- Working with Others (Reviewing Co-operative Contribution) at SCQF level 6

Assessment

This Graded Unit will be assessed by a project in the form of an investigation of an aspect of customer service provision issue within an organisation. The investigation should be problem orientated and should involve the candidate drawing conclusions and making recommendations. The developed investigation should provide the candidate with the opportunity to produce evidence that demonstrates she/he has met the aims of the Graded Unit that it covers.

Graded Unit title: Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1

Conditions of assessment

The candidate should be given a date for completion of the **Investigation**. However, the instructions for the assessment task should be distributed to allow the candidate sufficient time to assimilate the details and carry out the assessment task. During the time between the distribution of the assessment task instructions and the completion date, assessors may answer questions, provide clarification, guidance and reasonable assistance.

Reasonable assistance is the term used by SQA to describe the difference between providing candidates with some direction to generate the required evidence for assessment and providing too much support which would compromise the integrity of the assessment. Reasonable assistance is part of all learning and teaching processes.

In relation to the assessment of Higher National Project-based Graded Units, assessors may provide advice, clarification, and guidance during the time between the distribution of the project instructions and the completion date, ie at each stage of the project.

Remediation allows an assessor to clarify candidate responses, either by requiring a written amendment or by oral questioning, where there is a minor shortfall or omission in evidence requirements. In either case, such instances must be formally noted by the assessor, either in writing or recording, and be made available to the internal and external verifier.

In relation to Higher National Project-based Graded Units, candidates must be given the opportunity for remediation at each stage of the project.

The evidence for a Higher National Project-based Graded Unit is generated over time and involves three distinct stages, each of which has to be achieved before the next is undertaken. This means that any reassessment of stages must be undertaken before proceeding to the next stage. The overall grade is derived from the total number of marks *across all* sections, and should reflect the ability of the candidate to work autonomously and the amount of support required. In relation to Higher National Project-based Graded Units, candidates who have failed any stage of the project and have been unable to provide the necessary evidence through remediation must be given the opportunity for reassessment of that stage.

Any candidate who has failed their graded unit or wishes to upgrade their award must be given a reassessment opportunity, or in exceptional circumstances, two reassessment opportunities. In the case of project-based graded units, this must be done using a substantially different project.

The final grading given must reflect the quality of the candidate's evidence at the time of the completion of the graded unit. Candidates must be awarded the highest grade achieved — whether through first submission or through any reassessment, remediation, and/or reasonable assistance provided.

Instructions for designing the assessment task

The assessment task is a project. The project undertaken by the candidate must be a complex task which involves:

- variables which are complex or unfamiliar
- relationships which need to be clarified
- a context which may be familiar or unfamiliar to the candidate

The assessment task must require the candidate to:

- analyse the task and decide on a course of action for undertaking the project
- plan and organise work and carry it through to completion
- reflect on what has been done and draw conclusions for the future
- produce evidence of meeting the aims which this Graded Unit has been designed to cover

Project brief

Candidates will be required to choose a project which enables them to investigate an aspect of customer service provision in an organisation. The output will be a report on the investigation which should include:

- Detailed explanation of the topic being investigated
- Relevant theory
- Summary of research findings
- Conclusions drawn from the investigation
- Specific recommendations

The project brief should cover aspects of all 3 of the following Units in the PDA Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation at SCQF level 8:

- Applying the principles of customer service within a public sector organisation
- Implementing best practice in customer complaint handling within a public sector organisation
- Promoting excellent customer service within a public sector organisation

It should sample topics and issues from the mandatory Units as listed in the table below:

Unit Title	Topic/Issues
Applying the principles of customer service within a public sector organisation	 Identify factors which affect the effective provision of customer service in a chosen area
Implementing best practice in customer complaint handling within a public sector organisation	 Investigate the reasons for customer complaints in a chosen area Identify solutions for prevention of customer complaints in a chosen area
Promoting excellent customer service within a public sector organisation	 Identify improvements which will lead to the provision of excellent customer service in a chosen area Make recommendations to implement improvements to customer service in a chosen area, which will result in the provision of excellent customer service

The topic chosen should be one which will enable candidates to exercise autonomy at a professional level. In particular, the investigation should require them to take into account their own roles and responsibilities and the roles and responsibilities of others with respect to customer service. As part of this autonomy, candidates will also be expected to negotiate and develop a brief for their project.

Guidance on grading candidates

Candidates who meet the minimum Evidence Requirements will have their achievement graded as C — competent, or A — highly competent or B somewhere between A and C. The grade related criteria to be used to judge candidate performance for this Graded Unit is specified in the following table.

Grade A	Grade C		
 Is a seamless, coherent piece of work which: Demonstrates a broad knowledge of the scope, defining features, and main areas of the investigation Uses a range of routine skills, techniques and practices which are linked to the provision of customer service, a few of which are advanced Demonstrates understanding of a range of concepts and issues in relation to management styles in a customer service environment, and applies them accurately and relevantly to support points made Uses advanced and specialised skills to convey complex information on the investigation into customer service provision to a range of audiences and for a range of purposes Uses and evaluates numerical and graphical data to measure progress achieve goals and targets Demonstrates autonomy and initiative in dealing customer service provision at a professional level Includes a reasoned critical evaluation of current provision of customer service using valid customer service concepts Takes continuing account of own and others' roles, responsibilities and contributions in evaluating the findings of the investigation Uses a range of approaches to formulate evidence-based solutions to the objectives of the investigation Includes a careful and considered reflection on the investigation which provides a strong basis for judging its success and making realistic recommendations for the future Take significant managerial or supervisory responsibility for the work of others in defined areas of work 	 Is a co-ordinated piece of work which: Contains sufficient evidence to meet the minimum requirements of each of the three stages of the investigation and is produced to an adequate standard Uses some routine skills, techniques and practices which are linked to the provision of customer service, which are not advanced Demonstrates limited understanding of concepts and issues in relation to management styles in a customer service environment, and applies them to support points made Uses routine skills to convey some complex information on the investigation into customer service provision to limited audiences and for limited purposes Uses and evaluates limited numerical and graphical data to identify progress towards achievement of goals and targets Demonstrates some autonomy and initiative in dealing with customer service provision of customer service but one which lacks depth and supporting reasons and is not firmly based on customer service concepts Takes some account of own and others' roles, responsibilities and contributions in evaluating the findings of the investigation Uses a limited range of approaches to formulate evidence-based solutions to the objectives of the investigation Includes limited reflection on the investigation which fails to provide a strong basis for judging its success or making realistic recommendations for the future Take limited managerial or supervisory responsibility for the work of others in defined areas of work 		

Graded Unit title: Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1

The project will be marked out of 100. Assessors will mark each stage of the project, taking into account the criteria outlined. The marks will then be aggregated to arrive at an overall mark for the project. Assessors will then assign an overall grade to the candidate for this Graded Unit based on the following grade boundaries.

- A = 70% 100%
- B = 60%-69%
- C = 50% 59%

NOTE: The candidate must achieve all of the minimum evidence specified below for each stage of the project in order to achieve the Graded Unit.

Graded Unit title: Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1

Evidence Requirements

The project consists of three stages: planning; developing; and evaluating. The following table specifies the minimum evidence required to pass each stage.

NOTE: The candidate must achieve **all of the minimum evidence** specified below for each stage of the project in order to pass the Graded Unit.

Project stage	Minimum Evidence Requirements		
Stage 1 — Planning 20% of marks	A plan for the investigation which includes: A brief outlining the proposed investigation of an issue in relation to the provision of excellent customer service within an organisation. The brief must include:		
	 The aims and objectives of the investigation The rationale for choosing the topic of the investigation Identification of the sources of information that will be used for the investigation with an explanation as to why each has been selected Explanation of how the investigation will be conducted with reasons for the choice of methods adopted A plan detailing timescales and resources 		
	 The planning stage of the project should be a clear indicator of the structure and content of the investigation and could include charts and/or diagrams, although these are not necessary. Additional guidance on grading This stage is worth 20 marks. To gain high marks the candidate must demonstrate a high degree of autonomy in planning activities. 		
	For the planning stage the marks must be allocated in the following way:		
	Up to 2 marks for identifying the aims and objectives of the topic — marks should be based on:		
	 extent to which the aims and objectives are consistent with the materials in the Units in the PDA Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation at SCQF level 8 extent to which the objectives are clear specific and achievable within the expected time scale 		

Up to 4 marks for an explanation of the rationale for choosing the topic of the investigation — marks should be based on:
 extent to which the reasons given are convincing in terms of improving customer service within the organisation clarity with which reasons are expressed
Up to 4 marks for the identification of the sources of information that will be used for the investigation with an explanation as to why each has been selected — marks should be based on:
 range of sources identified extent to which non-routine sources are used validity of reasons given to justify sources selected
Up to 7 marks for explanation of how the investigation will be conducted with reasons for the choice of methods adopted — marks should be based on:
 range of methods of conducting investigation including, gathering information
 extent to which the conduct of the investigation is practical and achievable in terms of the organisational context of the investigation
 involvement of others and explanation of how their co-operation will be obtained
 validity of reasons used to justify methods used to carry out the investigation
Up to 3 marks for a plan detailing timescales and resources — marks should be based on:
 inclusion of a final completion date and significant milestones towards reaching this date explanation of the extent to which the timetable is likely to be realistic in terms of factors like organisational constraints on carrying out the investigation; other commitments of candidate etc identification of resources (including time) needed to carry out the plan indication of how resources will be obtained
The candidate must achieve all of the minimum evidence specified above in order to pass the Planning stage.

Project stage	Minimum Evidence Requirements		
Stage 2 — Developing 40% of marks	 The development of an investigation which includes: Collection and collation of relevant information Interpretation/analysis of data, including use of relevant theory Conclusions from the interpretation/analysis Recommendations based on the conclusions A properly structured report 		
	The development stage of the project should contain substantial content which covers all requirements of development. It is likely that information will reflect what has been planned for in stage 1 and contain in depth interpretation and analysis of data collected.		
	Additional guidance on grading		
	This stage is worth 40 marks. Assessment is based on a written report of the activity. To gain high marks the candidate must demonstrate a high degree of autonomy in developing the project.		
	Up to 8 marks for the collection and collation of relevant information marks should be based on:		
	 accuracy of information gathered relevance of information to the project range of methods used to gather information reference to relevant theories of customer service and how they have informed the collection of information 		
	Up to 10 marks for showing interpretation/analysis of data — marks should be based on:		
	 range and relevance of theories and/or perspectives on customer service to the interpretation/analysis explanation and discussion of the way in which theories and/or perspectives have contributed to the interpretation/analysis the extent to which the interpretation/analysis relates to the aims and objectives identified in the plan for the investigation the extent to which the analysis/interpretation the extent to which the analysis/interpretation is related to the information gathered 		

Up to 6 marks for conclusions drawn from the investigation — marks should be based on:
 extent to which conclusions follow from the analysis/interpretation extent to which the conclusions match the aims and objectives identified in the plan for the investigation extent to which the conclusions are based on the information gathered for the investigation
Up to 6 marks for recommendations from the investigation — marks should be based on:
 extent to which recommendations follow from the conclusions extent to which the recommendations are realistic as far as the organisation is concerned reasons given to justify the recommendations
Up to 10 marks for presenting a properly structured and referenced report — marks should be based on:
 Detailed explanation of the topic being investigated Relevant theory Summary of research findings Conclusions drawn from the investigation Specific recommendations A list of acknowledgements and sources of reference An appendix containing any relevant material — customer feedback etc
The candidate must achieve all of the minimum evidence specified above in order to pass the Developing stage.

Project stage	Minimum Evidence Requirements		
Stage 3 — Evaluating	An evaluation of the effectiveness of all parts of the investigation which should include:		
	 An assessment of the action plan in light of experience, including a review of any unforeseen events, if appropriate, and how they were handled Identify any knowledge and skills that have been gained and/or 		
	 Identify any knowledge and skills that have been gained and/or developed Assess the strengths and weaknesses of the main body of the 		
	investigation report		
	 Reflection on the success of the investigation with recommendations for improvements 		
	The evaluation of the project will provide an opportunity to reflect on the success of the investigations. It should, therefore, include a review of all stages of the investigation and results achieved in the process.		
	Additional Guidance on Grading		
	This stage is worth 40 marks . The evaluating stage is assessed by a written evaluation. To gain high marks candidates should demonstrate a high degree of autonomy in evaluating activities. The marks for this stage should be allocated in the following way:		
	Up to 10 marks for an assessment of the action plan in light of experience — marks should be based on:		
	 extent to which the plan enabled the aims and objectives of the investigation to be met 		
	 reflection on the suitability of the aims and objectives for the investigation 		
	 discussion of any unforeseen events and how they were handled, including a commentary on why there were no unforeseen events if this was the case 		
	 extent to which the plan contributed to monitoring the progress of the investigation 		
	 strength and validity of reasons given to support points made 		

Up to 5 marks for identifying any knowledge and skills that have been gained and/or developed — marks should be based on:
 reference to specific Knowledge and/or Skills and why these are new and important to the candidate
 explanation of how investigation contributed to acquisition of these new Knowledge and/or Skills
 strength and validity of reasons given to support points made
Up to 10 marks for assessing the strengths and weaknesses of the main body of the investigation report — marks should be based on:
 making reference to both the planning and developing stages when explaining strengths and weaknesses
 identification of both strengths and weaknesses [which could, if desired, be expressed in terms of what went well and what did not go as well as expected]
 extent to which the candidate adopts a realistic attitude to identifying strengths and weaknesses
 the strength and validity of the reasons given to support points made
Up to 15 marks for reflection on the success of the investigation with recommendations for improvements — marks should be based on:
 overall effectiveness of the investigation in terms of the extent to which its aims and objectives were achieved
 reflection on the methods used to collect information and on the value of the information obtained
 reflection on the theories and/or perspectives of customer service sued in the investigation and whether or not they made a useful contribution to the conclusions and recommendations
 extent to which the recommendations are acceptable to the organisation and the likelihood of them being implemented
 degree of co-operation from others during the investigation and the impact that this had
 lessons for the candidate about the implementation of excellent customer service
 lessons from the investigation for future investigations into customer service provision
 the strength and validity of the reasons given to support points made
The candidate must achieve all of the minimum evidence specified above in order to pass the Evaluating stage.

Graded Unit title: Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1

Support notes

This part of the Unit specification is offered as guidance. The support notes are not mandatory.

As this Unit is student-initiated and driven, it requires only guidance and direction on the part of the lecturer. Primarily, the role of the lecturer is that of mentor. The expectation is that the candidate works independently, demonstrating a thorough understanding of each stage of the Unit, ie, planning, developing and evaluating. Problem solving skills and evaluative skills should be evident.

Candidates should be encouraged to draw upon their learning and assignments for the Units from PDA in Customer Service within Public Sector Organisations and placement or workplace experience.

Prior to commencing the investigation the candidate should discuss his/her choice of topic with the tutor to ensure that one of suitable substance is selected. Given the nature of the sector, there will be a wide range of potential topics. Some examples are given below which may provide a starting point for tutor/candidate discussion and selection:

- Are current customer relationship management procedures effective?
- How are customer expectations managed to ensure customer service is reliable and achievable?
- How important are motivation and leadership skills to successful customer service?

Equality and inclusion

This unit specification has been designed to ensure that there are no unnecessary barriers to learning or assessment. The individual needs of learners should be taken into account when planning learning experiences, selecting assessment methods or considering alternative evidence.

Further advice can be found on our website <u>www.sqa.org.uk/assessmentarrangements</u>.

History of changes to Unit

Version	Description of change	Date
02	Update to Conditions of Assessment.	24/07/18

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2011, 2018

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for educational purposes provided that no profit is derived from reproduction and that, if reproduced in part, the source is acknowledged.

SQA acknowledges the valuable contribution that Scotland's colleges have made to the development of SQA Advanced Qualifications.

FURTHER INFORMATION: Call SQA's Customer Contact Centre on 0345 279 1000.

General information for candidates

Graded Unit title: Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation: Graded Unit 1

This Unit has been designed to allow you to integrate your learning across a range of Units in the PDA Customer Service within a Public Sector Organisation. You should be able to draw upon your learning and assignments for the mandatory Units and your placement or workplace experience. You will have the opportunity to discuss and agree on the topic to be undertaken with the placement supervisor/teacher/line manager.

On completion of this Unit, you will be able to demonstrate that you have achieved the following principal aims of the PDA:

- 1 obtain the knowledge of the core management principles involved in effective customer service
- 2 develop an accurate and realistic insight into the effective management of customer service within Scottish local government and associated bodies
- 3 develop general management and other transferable skills within a customer service context
- 4 take ownership of customer service provision and actively develop solutions which will lead to improved organisational performance and customer experience
- 5 develop independent study and research skills both academically and in the workplace
- 6 interpret qualitative and quantitative data on customer service and draw on a range of sources in making judgments
- 7 work in ways that are reflective, self-critical and based on evidence

This Graded Unit will be assessed by the use of a project in the form of an investigation of an issue relating to managing excellent customer service within a public sector organisation. Assessment is based on the **product** and the **process**.

The developed Investigation should provide you with the opportunity to produce evidence that demonstrates you have met the aims of the Graded Unit that it covers by:

- Demonstrating the skills required to plan an investigation
- Carrying out the investigation
- Presenting findings and conclusions
- Evaluating the effectiveness of the investigation