



Qualification Verification Summary Report

NQ Verification 2018–19

01

Section 1: Verification group information

Verification group name:	Care
Verification event/visiting information:	Event
Date published:	June 2019

National Units verified:

H218 74	National 4	Human Development and Behaviour
H21C 74	National 4	Values and Principles
H12A 74	National 4	Social Influences
H21E 74	National 4	Care: Investigating Services Assignment — added value unit
H12A 75	SCQF level 5	Social Influences
H218 75	SCQF level 5	Human Development and Behaviour
H21C 75	SCQF level 5	Values and Principles
H12A 76	SCQF level 6	Social Influences
H218 76	SCQF level 6	Human Development and Behaviour
H21C 76	SCQF level 6	Values and Principles

02

Section 2: Comments on assessment

This year many centres provided their candidates with a structured format based on the requirements of the SQA unit assessment support packs (UASPs). This appears to work well as it clearly outlines the assessment standards and instructions for candidates.

Assessment approaches

Many centres provide opportunities for candidates to make choices through a range of case studies. Choice has also been extended to the way that evidence is presented when candidates can choose the format of their evidence.

Assessment judgements

Centres are providing good clear feedback to candidates in support of their assessment evidence, often making use of the candidate assessment record form in the UASP. This can be used to provide brief, accurate and supportive statements on the candidate's submission.

Some centres are making unreasonable demands on their candidates by asking them to do more than is required. Centres are reminded to make use of the SQA UASP which details the requirements of the assessment and clearly outlines the assessment standards and instructions for candidates.

It is seen as good practice for candidates to work in small groups or pairs. However, if candidates are working in pairs, centres should ensure that each individual candidate has met the requirements. This could be done by using a presentation checklist, an interview checklist, or a written commentary per candidate.

03

Section 3: General comments

Overall, candidates' submissions were well presented and were of a good standard.

Centres often provide clear assessment guidelines.

There is still a lack of information provided by centres in stating the conditions of assessment for the collection of evidence for section 6 (evaluation) for National 4 Care: Investigating Services Assignment. It is important for centres to clarify how this has been done to ensure candidates are meeting the requirements of the UASP.

Most centres are providing evidence of an effective internal verification process.