



## External Assessment Report 2015

Subject(s)	Gaelic (learners)
Level(s)	Int. 2

The statistics used in this report are prior to the outcome of any Post Results Services requests

This report provides information on the performance of candidates which it is hoped will be useful to teachers/lecturers in their preparation of candidates for future examinations. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding. It would be helpful to read this report in conjunction with the published question papers and marking instructions for the examination.

# Comments on candidate performance

## General comments

Numbers entered for the qualification continue to remain small. There was a further decrease in the numbers of candidates this year, which was expected as centres moved to the new National Qualifications. However, it was pleasing to see one new centre offering the qualification.

The level of demand of the examination has been constant and no change was noted. Therefore, the examination was once again appropriate in terms of content and context.

## Areas in which candidates performed well

It was again noted, that candidates performed better in the Reading paper than in the Listening paper, demonstrating good dictionary skills.

## Areas which candidates found demanding

The performance of candidates in the Writing paper was again of concern. Some candidates' writing pieces were very limited and did not allow them to demonstrate an adequate range of vocabulary or language structures. Candidates should also be reminded to write the number of the question attempted at the top of their writing piece.

# Advice to centres for preparation of future candidates

## General

Centres should consider carefully what level they enter candidates for.

## Reading/Listening

In preparation for the both the Reading & Listening Papers, centres should ensure candidates are familiar with the common areas of vocabulary: time, months, days, money, numbers, place-names, countries, weather, common adjectives, comparatives, etc.

Particularly for the Listening Paper, centres should ensure that candidates have enough time to refine their exam technique by working through past papers. Their attention should be drawn to the large bank of past papers available on the SQA website.

## Writing

Centres must offer candidates guidance on what constitutes an adequate amount of writing. They should also encourage candidates to use a sufficient variety of vocabulary and

language structures, appropriate to this level. Candidates must also be prepared to write in different formats, eg a letter, a report and a diary extract.

## Statistical information: update on Courses

Number of resulted entries in 2014	22
------------------------------------	----

Number of resulted entries in 2015	1
------------------------------------	---

## Statistical information: Performance of candidates

### Distribution of Course awards including grade boundaries

Distribution of Course awards	%	Cum. %	Number of candidates	Lowest mark
Maximum Mark - 180				
A	0.0%	0.0%	0	126
B	0.0%	0.0%	0	108
C	100.0%	100.0%	1	90
D	0.0%	100.0%	0	81
No award	0.0%	-	0	-

The Course assessment functioned as intended, therefore no adjustment to grade boundaries was required.

## General commentary on grade boundaries

- ◆ While SQA aims to set examinations and create marking instructions which will allow a competent candidate to score a minimum of 50% of the available marks (the notional C boundary) and a well prepared, very competent candidate to score at least 70% of the available marks (the notional A boundary), it is very challenging to get the standard on target every year, in every subject at every level.
- ◆ Each year, SQA therefore holds a grade boundary meeting for each subject at each level where it brings together all the information available (statistical and judgemental). The Principal Assessor and SQA Qualifications Manager meet with the relevant SQA Business Manager and Statistician to discuss the evidence and make decisions. The meetings are chaired by members of the management team at SQA.
- ◆ The grade boundaries can be adjusted downwards if there is evidence that the exam is more challenging than usual, allowing the pass rate to be unaffected by this circumstance.
- ◆ The grade boundaries can be adjusted upwards if there is evidence that the exam is less challenging than usual, allowing the pass rate to be unaffected by this circumstance.
- ◆ Where standards are comparable to previous years, similar grade boundaries are maintained.
- ◆ An exam paper at a particular level in a subject in one year tends to have a marginally different set of grade boundaries from exam papers in that subject at that level in other years. This is because the particular questions, and the mix of questions, are different. This is also the case for exams set in centres. If SQA has already altered a boundary in a particular year in, say, Higher Chemistry, this does not mean that centres should necessarily alter boundaries in their prelim exam in Higher Chemistry. The two are not that closely related, as they do not contain identical questions.
- ◆ SQA's main aim is to be fair to candidates across all subjects and all levels and maintain comparable standards across the years, even as arrangements evolve and change.