



NQ Verification 2016–17

Key Messages Round 2

01

Section 1: Verification group information

Verification group name:	History
Verification event/visiting information	Event
Date published:	June 2017

National Courses/Units verified:

H20E 74 National 4 History Assignment (Added Value Unit)

02

Section 2: Comments on assessment

Assessment approaches

All centres had used the unit assessment support pack in an appropriate manner. A number had supplemented this with candidate guides which provided greater support in completing areas such as choosing a suitable theme or event, or taking advantage of aspects of personalisation and choice.

Assessment judgements

It was very encouraging to see very wide use of annotation at the point of achievement, often colour coded.

The use of centre-devised planning sheets proved very helpful to many candidates.

Many assessors had demonstrated a very good understanding of the process by crediting candidate success anywhere that it was addressed in the added value unit.

Centres are reminded that to achieve AS1.2 it is only necessary to list sources.

Used appropriately, Wikipedia is an acceptable source.

Centres are reminded that, to achieve AS1.5, candidates must describe causes **OR** impact.

Centres are reminded that, to achieve AS1.6, it is not necessary to provide a conclusion. A summary comment anywhere within the candidate work or the use of specialist vocabulary meets the assessment standard.

Where a candidate has been orally re-assessed to meet an assessment standard, this should be recorded by the centre.

03

Section 3: General comments

All centres had provided detailed submissions that met the requirements and were supportive of candidates.

It was evident that centres had effective internal verification processes and centre staff worked collegiately to ensure consistency.

The overall standard of candidate work was to be admired.