Qualification Verification Summary Report

NQ Verification 2019–20

01 Section 1: Verification group information

Verification group name:	**Graphic Communication**
Verification event/visiting information	**Event**
Date published:	**July 2020**

National Courses/Units verified:

H27V 74	National 4	2D Graphic Communication
H27W 74	National 4	3D and Pictorial Graphic Communication

02 Section 2: Comments on assessment

Assessment approaches

Most centres used the existing SQA unit assessment support pack (UASP) material either in a unit-by-unit or combined approach. Some centres had adapted this material to produce a portfolio approach and a few used their own centre-produced materials for candidates.

Centres are reminded that sufficient evidence must be sent to enable verification of interim evidence. Advice of what constitutes sufficient evidence can be found on page 5 of the document entitled Verification Submission Guidance — Units.

Sufficient assessment judgements

Whether or not evidence is sufficient is ultimately decided by the verifier. Typical indicators that sufficient evidence has been provided include:

- Assessed evidence is available for assessment standard(s) that comprise the majority of a unit.
- Assessed evidence is available for assessment standards in two or more combined units.
- Assessed evidence is available for most assessment standards in a unit across the sampled candidates.

Typical indicators that insufficient evidence has been provided are:

- Assessed evidence is available for only a minority of assessment standard(s) in a unit.
- Evidence only provided against assessment standards which are not complete.

Assessment judgements

The majority of verified centres correctly applied the national standards to their judgements. There were only a few instances where centres were too severe in their judgements of the candidates at this level.

03 Section 3: General comments

Centres submitted evidence where outcomes had been assessed incorrectly within and across units, for example assessing the wrong outcome with the evidence presented — using outcomes from the 2D unit to try and assess 3D and Pictorial work. This appeared to be the result of various administrative errors and should have been picked up at internal verification.

For outcomes 1.5 and 2.3 in the 2D unit and 1.4 and 2.4 in the 3D and Pictorial unit, centres are reminded that if the evidence is oral, a transcript of the conversation with the candidate must be supplied to allow verification of any judgements made.

All centres had evidence of internal verification.

The standard of candidate work verified at National 4 ranged from a good standard to ‘very high’ in some centres.