



# **2014 Russian**

## **Higher – Reading and Directed Writing**

### **Finalised Marking Instructions**

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2014

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Assessment team.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

## **Part One: General Marking Principles for Russian Higher Reading & Directed Writing**

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this Paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the specific Marking Instructions for each question.

- (a)** Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the specific Marking Instructions for the relevant question. If a specific candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed Marking Instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your Team Leader/Principal Assessor.
- (b)** Marking should always be positive ie, marks should be awarded for what is correct and not deducted for errors or omissions.

### **GENERAL MARKING ADVICE: Russian Higher Reading & Directed Writing**

The marking schemes are written to assist in determining the “minimal acceptable answer” rather than listing every possible correct and incorrect answer. The following notes are offered to support Markers in making judgements on candidates’ evidence, and apply to marking both end of unit assessments and course assessments.

## **2013 Russian Higher: Reading**

### **Marking Key**

The comprehension questions are designed to find out whether the candidates have understood the main marks of the passage, including where appropriate something of the author's purpose in writing the text.

In the marking schemes which follow, a bullet point designates information required for the award of 1 mark; a word or concept underlined must be evident within an answer before the mark can be awarded.

To assess an answer, tick each piece of information which constitutes a correct mark. If the information is subsequently contradicted in the same answer, put a cross through the relevant tick. Similarly, if correct information is accompanied in the same answer by information which shows lack of real understanding, put a cross through the relevant tick.

No marks can be awarded in a sentence whose overall meaning is unclear.

The inclusion of irrelevant information in an answer should not be penalised unless it contradicts the text.

If information required but not given in answer to one question appears in answer to a different question, it cannot be credited with any marks unless it would also correctly answer that question.

The total mark awarded for each question should be written on the inside margin, at the end of the relevant question.

When the comprehension questions have been marked, marks should be totalled and written on the inside margin as a mark out of 20.

### **Section I – General Marks**

Correct marks should be marked with a tick and the total number of marks written in the inside margin after each heading.

No marks should be given for marks written under a different heading unless they would also answer that heading. In such a case, the mark should be transferred to the total for the heading under which the mark was originally allocated. Where candidates list irrelevant information, no credit should be given for this, even if there is some correct information in the middle of it.

The total number of marks scored should be written in the inner margin at the end of Section I.

**Part Two: Marking Instructions for each Question**

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
1.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>seniors / pensioners and students</li> </ul>	1		
1.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>on average 4 hours per week</li> </ul>	1		
2.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>so that they don't feel abandoned / that no one needs them / lonely / alone</li> <li>it's an easy way to make someone a little happier</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 1 from 2)</b></p>	1		
2.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>about everything, her life, her education school / studies and what is happening to her</li> </ul>	1		
2.	(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>painted the walls in bright, positive colours</li> <li>replaced black and white TV with plasma screen</li> </ul>	2		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
3.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>he gives them <u>food / feeds</u> them and brings them footwear / clothes / a blanket</li> </ul>	1		
3.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>as often as his studies allow / anything from once to 3 times a week</li> </ul>	1		
3.	(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>he feels bad / sorry for the children</li> <li>when you realise that you can change someone's life for the better you know why you are alive/your purpose in life</li> <li>it doesn't demand a lot of him</li> <li>it gives him great pleasure / loves it / enjoys it / happy to help them / satisfaction expressed</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 4)</b></p>	2		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
4.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>she prepared them to take part in the children's paralympic games</li> </ul>	1		
4.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>good knowledge of Russian</li> <li>work experience</li> <li>lots of new (Russian) friends</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 3)</b></p>	2		
5.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>they want to do something useful / helpful and good for the world</li> </ul>	1		
6.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>his school supported (charity) project "Sun for India"</li> <li>he has been interested in Indian history and culture since 8<sup>th</sup> class</li> <li>he has always dreamed of going to India</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 1 from 3)</b></p>	1		
6.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>taught dancing, sport and Russian in a Primary School</li> </ul>	1		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
6.	(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was difficult to get used to the (local) food</li> <li>he had to eat the same thing for three weeks</li> <li>all he ate for three weeks was rice, veg and (fried) chicken</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 1 from 3)</b></p>	<b>1</b>		
6.	(d)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>you look at life differently (after doing such work)</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>		
7.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>invaluable / priceless experience of life</li> <li>lasting / long/ lifelong friendship</li> <li>a new outlook on life</li> <li>happiness / pleasure at the results of your work</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 4)</b></p>	<b>2</b>		
			<b>(20)</b>		

## Translation into English

The translation into English is allocated 10 marks. The text for translation will be divided into a number of sense units. Each sense unit is worth 2 marks, which will be awarded according to the quality and accuracy of the translation into English. In assessing the candidate's performance, the descriptions detailed below will be used. Each sense unit will be awarded one of the marks shown.

Category	Mark	Description
Good	2	Essential information and relevant details are understood and conveyed clearly and accurately, with appropriate use of English.
Satisfactory	1	Essential information is understood and conveyed clearly and comprehensibly, although some of the details may be translated in an imprecise or inaccurate manner. The key message is conveyed in spite of inaccuracies and weaknesses in the use of English.
Unsatisfactory	0	The candidate fails to demonstrate sufficient understanding of the essential information and relevant details. Errors may include mistranslation and/or the failure to translate relevant details.



8. Translate into English:

«Тебе интересно...дополнительных рук.» (lines 147–153)

Unit 1

<b>TEXT</b>	<b>GOOD 2 marks</b>	<b>SATISFACTORY 1 mark</b>	<b>UNSATISFACTORY 0 marks</b>
<b>Тебе интересно стать волонтером?</b>	Are you interested in becoming a volunteer / doing voluntary / charity work?	to become	

Unit 2

<b>TEXT</b>	<b>GOOD 2 marks</b>	<b>SATISFACTORY 1 mark</b>	<b>UNSATISFACTORY 0 marks</b>
<b>Совсем несложно.</b>	It's very / really easy. / It's not at all difficult / complicated.	missing verb	

### Unit 3

<b>TEXT</b>	<b>GOOD 2 marks</b>	<b>SATISFACTORY 1 mark</b>	<b>UNSATISFACTORY 0 marks</b>
<b>Подробную информацию обо всех волонтерских проектах можно найти в Интернете.</b>	You can get / find full / detailed information about all (kinds of) volunteering / volunteer / charity work / projects / schemes on the Internet.		

### Unit 4

<b>TEXT</b>	<b>GOOD 2 marks</b>	<b>SATISFACTORY 1 mark</b>	<b>UNSATISFACTORY 0 marks</b>
<b>На сайтах есть списки организаций по всему миру,</b>	Websites have lists of worldwide organisations,	no plurals	

### Unit 5

<b>TEXT</b>	<b>GOOD 2 marks</b>	<b>SATISFACTORY 1 mark</b>	<b>UNSATISFACTORY 0 marks</b>
<b>которые нуждаются в паре дополнительных рук.</b>	which need another / extra pair of hands / help.	spare hands / additional hands	

## Higher Writing

Task: Directed Writing, addressing 6 bullet points.

- Assessment Process:
- 1 With reference to *Content, Accuracy and Language Resource*, assess the overall quality of the response and allocate it to a pegged mark.
  - 2 Check that all 6 bullet points have been addressed.
  - 3 Deduct 2 marks (ie single marks, not pegged ones) for each bullet not addressed, up to a maximum of 2 bullets. If 3 or more bullets have not been addressed, the mark must be 0.

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Very Good	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All bullet points are covered fully, in a balanced way, including a number of complex sentences.</li> <li>• Some candidates may also provide additional information.</li> <li>• A wide range of verbs / verb forms, tenses and constructions is used.</li> <li>• Overall this comes over as a competent, well thought-out account of the event which reads naturally.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate handles all aspects of grammar and spelling accurately, although the language may contain some minor errors or even one more serious error.</li> <li>• Where the candidate attempts to use language more appropriate to post-Higher, a slightly higher number of inaccuracies need not detract from the overall very good impression.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate is comfortable with almost all the grammar used and generally uses a different verb or verb form in each sentence.</li> <li>• There is good use of a variety of tenses, adjectives, adverbs and prepositional phrases and, where appropriate, word order.</li> <li>• The candidate uses co-ordinating conjunctions and subordinate clauses throughout the writing.</li> <li>• The language flows well.</li> </ul>
Good	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All bullet points are addressed, generally quite fully, and some complex sentences may be included.</li> <li>• The response to one bullet point may be thin, although other bullet points are dealt with in some detail.</li> <li>• The candidate uses a reasonable range of verbs / verb forms and other constructions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate generally handles verbs and other parts of speech accurately but simply.</li> <li>• There may be some errors in spelling, adjective endings and, where relevant, case endings.</li> <li>• Use of accents may be less secure.</li> <li>• Where the candidate is attempting to use more complex vocabulary and structures, these may be less successful, although basic structures are used accurately.</li> <li>• There may be minor misuse of dictionary.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There may be less variety in the verbs used.</li> <li>• Most of the more complex sentences use co-ordinating conjunctions, and there may also be examples of subordinating conjunctions where appropriate.</li> <li>• In one bullet point the language may be more basic than might otherwise be expected at this level.</li> <li>• Overall the writing will be competent, mainly correct, but pedestrian.</li> </ul>

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Satisfactory	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate uses mainly simple, more basic sentences.</li> <li>• The language is perhaps repetitive and uses a limited range of verbs and fixed phrases not appropriate to this level.</li> <li>• In some examples, one or two bullet points may be less fully addressed.</li> <li>• In some cases, the content may be similar to that of good or very good examples, but with some serious accuracy issues.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The verbs are generally correct, but basic.</li> <li>• Tenses may be inconsistent, with present tenses being used at times instead of past tenses.</li> <li>• There are quite a few errors in other parts of speech – personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular/plural confusion – and in the use of accents.</li> <li>• Some prepositions may be inaccurate or omitted, eg I went the town.</li> <li>• While the language may be reasonably accurate in three or four bullet points, in the remaining two, control of the language structure may deteriorate significantly.</li> <li>• Overall, there is more correct than incorrect and there is the impression overall that the candidate can handle tenses.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes with the past tense of some verbs.</li> <li>• A limited range of verbs is used to address some of the bullet points.</li> <li>• Candidate relies on a limited range of vocabulary and structures.</li> <li>• When using the perfect tense, the past participle is incorrect or the auxiliary verb is omitted on occasion.</li> <li>• Sentences may be basic and mainly brief.</li> <li>• There is minimal use of adjectives, probably mainly after “is”, eg The boss was helpful.</li> <li>• The candidate has a weak knowledge of plurals.</li> <li>• There may be several spelling errors, eg reversal of vowel combinations.</li> </ul>

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Unsatisfactory	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In some cases the content may be basic.</li> <li>• In other cases there may be little difference in content between Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory.</li> <li>• The language is repetitive, with undue reliance on fixed phrases and a limited range of common basic verbs such as <i>to be, to have, to play, to watch</i>.</li> <li>• While the language used to address the more predictable bullet points may be accurate, serious errors occur when the candidate attempts to address the less predictable areas.</li> <li>• The Directed Writing may be presented as a single paragraph.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability to form tenses is inconsistent.</li> <li>• In the use of the perfect tense the auxiliary verb is omitted on a number of occasions.</li> <li>• There may be confusion between the singular and plural form of verbs.</li> <li>• There are errors in many other parts of speech – gender of nouns, cases, singular / plural confusion – and in spelling and, where appropriate, word order.</li> <li>• Several errors are serious, perhaps showing mother tongue interference.</li> <li>• There may be one sentence which is not intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker.</li> <li>• One area may be very weak.</li> <li>• Overall, there is more incorrect than correct.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes mainly only with the predictable language required at the earlier bullet points.</li> <li>• The verbs “was” and “went” may also be used correctly.</li> <li>• There is inconsistency in the use of various expressions, especially verbs.</li> <li>• Sentences are more basic.</li> <li>• An English word may appear in the writing or a word may be omitted.</li> <li>• There may be an example of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Poor	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The content and language may be very basic.</li> <li>• However, in many cases the content may be little different from that expected at Unsatisfactory or even at Satisfactory.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many of the verbs are incorrect or even omitted.</li> <li>• There are many errors in other parts of speech – personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular / plural confusion – and in spelling and word order.</li> <li>• Prepositions are not used correctly.</li> <li>• The language is probably inaccurate throughout the writing.</li> <li>• Some sentences may not be understood by a sympathetic native speaker.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate cannot cope with more than one or two basic verbs, frequently “had” and “was”.</li> <li>• The candidate displays almost no knowledge of past tenses of verbs.</li> <li>• Verbs used more than once may be written differently on each occasion.</li> <li>• The candidate has a very limited vocabulary.</li> <li>• Several English or “made-up” words may appear in the writing.</li> <li>• There are examples of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>
Very Poor	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The content is very basic <i>OR</i></li> <li>• The candidate has not completed at least three of the core bullet points.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (Virtually) nothing is correct.</li> <li>• Most of the errors are serious.</li> <li>• Very little is intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes only with “have” and “am”.</li> <li>• Very few words are correctly written in the foreign language.</li> <li>• English words are used.</li> <li>• There may be several examples of mother tongue interference.</li> <li>• There may be several examples of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>

<b>What if....?</b>	
the candidate only addresses one part of one of the introductory, predictable bullet points?	In such a case the candidate is deemed to have not addressed the bullet points and a 2 mark penalty is made (ie 2 marks are deducted from the final mark awarded). This procedure applies at all categories.
some bullet points fit into one category but others are in the next, lower category?	<p>It is important to look carefully at which bullet points are better addressed.</p> <p>If the better sections include the more predictable bullet points, the marker is less likely to be generous than if the unpredictable bullet points are of a better quality. If there is a serious decline after the initial bullet points, a lower mark must be awarded.</p> <p>It is also important to consider the balance of the bullet points. Sometimes a candidate writes twice as much about the opening bullet points – or even bullet points which are not there – than for the four remaining bullet points. In such cases, the lower mark being considered should be awarded.</p>
the marker is having great difficulty in deciding whether the writing is good enough to pass or not quite good enough to pass?	It is essential to consider carefully the accuracy of the verbs overall. If more verbs are correct than wrong, then it is likely that the candidate deserves to pass, unless there are many other inaccuracies in the writing.
the Directed Writing, from the point of view of content, looks as if it belongs in a top category, but where accuracy is concerned, contains some possibly serious grammatical errors in more basic structures as a result of using relatively advanced structures combined with a less than confident knowledge of the more basic structures?	This is a case where the candidate is more adventurous, but less accurate. It is always important to assess what it is the candidate <u>can</u> do, and thus highlight the positive. However, in such cases, it is likely that the candidate will be awarded 9.

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]





**2014 Russian**

**Higher – Listening/Writing**

**Finalised Marking Instructions**

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2014

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Assessment team.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

## **Part One: General Marking Principles for Russian Higher Listening/Writing**

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this Paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the specific Marking Instructions for each question.

- (a)** Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the specific Marking Instructions for the relevant question. If a specific candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed Marking Instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your Team Leader/Principal Assessor.
- (b)** Marking should always be positive ie, marks should be awarded for what is correct and not deducted for errors or omissions.

### **GENERAL MARKING ADVICE: Russian Higher Listening/Writing**

The marking schemes are written to assist in determining the “minimal acceptable answer” rather than listing every possible correct and incorrect answer. The following notes are offered to support Markers in making judgements on candidates’ evidence, and apply to marking both end of unit assessments and course assessments.

**Part Two: Marking Instructions for each Question**

**Section A**

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
1.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It can depend on where they live</li> <li>• there are good job opportunities in town</li> <li>• It can depend on their education/qualifications</li> <li>• or on the type of work they are seeking</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 4)</b></p>	<b>2</b>		
2.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there are not enough places for them</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>		
2.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• wide variation in quality of schools</li> <li>• a lot depends on the quality of the teachers</li> </ul>	<b>2</b>		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
3.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Teacher should be a good person</li> <li>• not simply an educator</li> <li>• teaches morality</li> <li>• should have sound knowledge of their subject</li> <li>• should be able to explain things clearly/well</li> <li>• should be both patient AND strict</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 3 from 6)</b></p>	<b>3</b>		
4.	<b>(a)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• she stacked shelves in a supermarket</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>		
4.	<b>(b)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• shop assistant / market sales person</li> <li>• work as a waitress / waiter</li> <li>• as a cleaner</li> <li>• on a building site/in construction work</li> <li>• as a hospital orderly</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 5)</b></p>	<b>2</b>		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
5.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• they sometimes have school work to do <u>in holidays</u></li> <li>• they have a reading list to work through</li> </ul>	2		
6.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• rich Russians go abroad to study</li> <li>• students from richer backgrounds find it easier to continue their studies</li> <li>• there are free State-run colleges</li> <li>• but it is difficult to access these places / there are not enough free places / fewer free places every year</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 4)</b></p>	2		
7.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• many school leavers have to find a job straightaway</li> </ul>	1		
8.	(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• she had very good qualifications</li> </ul>	1		
8.	(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It depends on the type of career you follow</li> </ul>	1		

Question		Expected Answer(s)	Max Mark	Unacceptable	Irrelevant/Insufficient
8.	(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• many companies demand a knowledge of English/German</li> <li>• especially in the hotel industry</li> <li>• but it is not needed if you want to be a doctor</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Any 2 from 3)</b></p>	<b>2</b>		
			<b>(20)</b>		

## Higher Writing

Task: Short essay

Assessment Process: With reference to *Content, Accuracy and Language Resource*, assess the overall quality of the response and allocate it to a pegged mark.

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Very Good	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The topic is covered fully, in a balanced way, including a number of complex sentences.</li> <li>• Some candidates may also provide additional information.</li> <li>• A wide range of verbs / verb forms and constructions is used. There may also be a variety of tenses.</li> <li>• Overall this comes over as a competent, well thought-out response to the task which reads naturally.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate handles all aspects of grammar and spelling accurately, although the language may contain some minor errors or even one more serious error.</li> <li>• Where the candidate attempts to use language more appropriate to post-Higher, a slightly higher number of inaccuracies need not detract from the overall very good impression.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate is comfortable with almost all the grammar used and generally uses a different verb or verb form in each sentence.</li> <li>• There is good use of a variety of tenses, adjectives, adverbs and prepositional phrases and, where appropriate, word order.</li> <li>• The candidate uses co-ordinating conjunctions and subordinate clauses throughout the writing.</li> <li>• The language flows well.</li> </ul>
Good	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The topic is addressed, generally quite fully, and some complex sentences may be included.</li> <li>• The candidate uses a reasonable range of verbs / verb forms and other constructions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate generally handles verbs and other parts of speech accurately but simply.</li> <li>• There may be some errors in spelling, adjective endings and, where relevant, case endings.</li> <li>• Use of accents may be less secure.</li> <li>• Where the candidate is attempting to use more complex vocabulary and structures, these may be less successful, although basic structures are used accurately.</li> <li>• There may be minor misuse of dictionary.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There may be less variety in the verbs used.</li> <li>• Most of the more complex sentences use co-ordinating conjunctions, and there may also be examples of subordinating conjunctions where appropriate.</li> <li>• At times the language may be more basic than might otherwise be expected at this level.</li> <li>• Overall the writing will be competent, mainly correct, but pedestrian.</li> </ul>



Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Satisfactory	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate uses mainly simple, more basic sentences.</li> <li>• The language is perhaps repetitive and uses a limited range of verbs and fixed phrases not appropriate to this level.</li> <li>• The topic may not be fully addressed.</li> <li>• In some cases, the content may be similar to that of good or very good examples, but with some serious accuracy issues.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The verbs are generally correct, but basic.</li> <li>• Tenses may be inconsistent.</li> <li>• There are quite a few errors in other parts of speech – personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular / plural confusion – and in the use of accents.</li> <li>• Some prepositions may be inaccurate or omitted eg I go the town.</li> <li>• While the language may be reasonably accurate at times, the language structure may deteriorate significantly in places.</li> <li>• Overall, there is more correct than incorrect and there is the impression overall that the candidate can handle tenses.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes with the present tense of most verbs.</li> <li>• A limited range of verbs is used.</li> <li>• Candidate relies on a limited range of vocabulary and structures.</li> <li>• Where the candidate attempts constructions with modal verbs, these are not always successful.</li> <li>• Sentences may be basic and mainly brief.</li> <li>• There is minimal use of adjectives, probably mainly after “is” eg. My friend is reliable.</li> <li>• The candidate has a weak knowledge of plurals.</li> <li>• There may be several spelling errors eg reversal of vowel combinations.</li> </ul>

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Un-satisfactory	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In some cases the content may be basic.</li> <li>• In other cases there may be little difference in content between Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory.</li> <li>• The language is repetitive, with undue reliance on fixed phrases and a limited range of common basic verbs such as <i>to be, to have, to play, to watch</i>.</li> <li>• While the language used to address the more predictable aspects of the task may be accurate, serious errors occur when the candidate attempts to address a less predictable aspect.</li> <li>• The Personal Response may be presented as a single paragraph.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability to form tenses is inconsistent.</li> <li>• In the use of the perfect tense the auxiliary verb is omitted on a number of occasions.</li> <li>• There may be confusion between the singular and plural form of verbs.</li> <li>• There are errors in many other parts of speech – gender of nouns, cases, singular / plural confusion – and in spelling and, where appropriate, word order.</li> <li>• Several errors are serious, perhaps showing mother tongue interference.</li> <li>• There may be one sentence which is not intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker.</li> <li>• Overall, there is more incorrect than correct.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes mainly only with predictable language.</li> <li>• There is inconsistency in the use of various expressions, especially verbs.</li> <li>• Sentences are more basic.</li> <li>• An English word may appear in the writing or a word may be omitted.</li> <li>• There may be an example of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Poor	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The content and language may be very basic.</li> <li>• However, in many cases the content may be little different from that expected at Unsatisfactory or even at Satisfactory.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many of the verbs are incorrect or even omitted.</li> <li>• There are many errors in other parts of speech – personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular / plural confusion – and in spelling and word order.</li> <li>• Prepositions are not used correctly.</li> <li>• The language is probably inaccurate throughout the writing.</li> <li>• Some sentences may not be understood by a sympathetic native speaker.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate cannot cope with more than 1 or 2 basic verbs, frequently “has” and “is”.</li> <li>• Verbs used more than once may be written differently on each occasion.</li> <li>• The candidate has a very limited vocabulary.</li> <li>• Several English or “made-up” words may appear in the writing.</li> <li>• There are examples of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>
Very Poor	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The content is very basic.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (Virtually) nothing is correct.</li> <li>• Most of the errors are serious.</li> <li>• Very little is intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The candidate copes only with “have” and “am”.</li> <li>• Very few words are correctly written in the foreign language.</li> <li>• English words are used.</li> <li>• There may be several examples of mother tongue interference.</li> <li>• There may be several examples of serious dictionary misuse.</li> </ul>

<b>What if....?</b>	
the candidate exceeds the recommended word count?	This in itself need not be important, although it is important to be clear that it is possible to attain top marks, if the writing does not exceed 150 words. It is important to assess what has been written – sometimes by exceeding the word count the candidate’s control of the language deteriorates and this has to be reflected in the mark awarded.
the candidate has been asked to address a topic with two aspects but only addresses one of these?	In such a case the candidate is deemed to have not addressed the task fully. The quality of the language should be assessed and the writing should then be placed in the next category down, eg if the writing would otherwise have been awarded 8, it should instead be awarded 6.
some parts of the writing fit into one category but others are in the next, lower category?	If the better sections contain more sophisticated language, it may still be appropriate to choose the higher marks. However, if the better sections contain relatively basic constructions and attempts to use more sophisticated language are unsuccessful, then it is most likely that the writing is at the 6 / 4 interface and the Marking Criteria should be used to help the marker come to a final decision.
the marker is having great difficulty in deciding whether the writing is good enough to pass or not quite good enough to pass?	It is essential to consider carefully the accuracy of the verbs overall. If more verbs are correct than wrong then it is likely that the candidate deserves to pass, unless there are many other inaccuracies in the writing.

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]