

---

## **Workshop 2 – Health and Food Technology**

### **Question Paper**

---

### **Commentaries on Candidate Evidence**

# **Commentary on Candidate E**

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each question of this Course Assessment component.

## **Question 1 - total 6 marks**

The candidate was awarded 6 marks as follows:

- 1a - All responses correct 4 marks awarded
- 1b - Both responses are practical ways - 2 marks awarded
- 1c - Incorrect knowledge/ not related to health - 0 marks awarded
- 1d - Answer not detailed - too vague - 0 marks awarded

## **Question 2 - total 1 mark**

The candidate was awarded 1 mark as follows:

- 2a - Energy comment has no consequence - candidate has made comments rather than evaluate
- 2b - 1 mark has been awarded to 'create a smoothie' however the explanation is vague. Vegetable soup does not gain any marks - again vague

## **Question 3 - total 4 marks**

The candidate was awarded 4 marks as follows:

- 3a - Correct choice - 1 mark
  - Reason 1 - 1 mark
  - Reason 2/3 - 0 marks - too vague
- 3b - Both functions mean the same thing therefore 1 mark awarded
- 3c - 1 mark awarded to recycle/environment
- 3d - 0 marks - incorrect

## **Question 4 - total 5 marks**

The candidate was awarded 5 marks as follows:

- 4a - Concept generation awarded 1 mark
- 4b - 3 correct statements - 3 marks awarded
- 4c - incorrect - 0 marks
- 4d - not evaluative - 0 marks

## **Question 5 - total 1 mark**

The candidate was awarded 1 mark as follows:

- 5a - points 1,2,4 are not related to the couple, and not evaluative, point 3 is not evaluative
- 5b - budget relates income to choice - 1 mark, advertising vague 0 marks
- 5c - incorrect -0 marks
- 5d - not evaluative - 0 marks

# **Commentary on Candidate F**

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each question of this Course Assessment component.

## **Question 1 - total 10 marks**

The candidate was awarded 10 marks as follows:

- 1a - 4 marks
- 1b - 2 marks
- 1c - 2 marks
- 1d - 2 marks - minimum response

## **Question 2 - total 6 marks**

The candidate was awarded 6 marks as follows:

- 2a - Energy and protein 2 marks each as developed answers iron and calcium 1 mark each
- 2b - 0 marks awarded as answer not related to developing product

## **Question 3 - total 7 marks**

The candidate was awarded 7 marks as follows:

- 3a i- 1- correct choice
- ii- 3 marks awarded all correct
- 3b - 2 marks awarded for 2 different correct functions of fibre
- 3c - 1 mark awarded for recycling evaluation
- 3d - 0 marks - incorrect

## **Question 4 - total 7 marks**

The candidate was awarded 7 marks as follows:

- 4a - 2 marks
- 4b - 3 marks awarded - comment on 'dull' - 0 marks
- 4c - 0 marks
- 4d - 2 marks

## **Question 5 - total 6 marks**

The candidate was awarded 6 marks as follows:

- 5a - 4 marks - all valid answers
- 5b - 2 marks
- 5c - 0 marks
- 5d - 0 marks

# **Commentary on Candidate G**

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each question of this Course Assessment component.

## **Question 1 - total 7 marks**

The candidate was awarded 7 marks as follows:

1a – 2 marks for nutrient and function 1

1b - 2 marks

1c - 1 mark - explanation of baked

1d - 2 marks - this was considered to be a well written answer

## **Question 2 - total 6 marks**

The candidate was awarded 6 marks as follows:

2a - The candidate was awarded 6 marks 2 developed points - energy and calcium plus 2 marks for 2 other nutrients

2b - 0 marks - incorrect

## **Question 3 - total 4 marks**

The candidate was awarded 4 marks as follows:

3a i - 1 mark - correct choice

3a ii - 2 marks for reasons 2 and 3 - reason 1 is vague - use of term 'inexpensive'

3 b - 0 marks

3 c - 1 mark for recycle as a minimum answer

3 d - 0 marks -incorrect

## **Question 4 - total 6 marks**

The candidate was awarded 6 marks as follows:

4a - 2 marks

4b - 2 marks - too thin awarded 2 marks

4c - 0 marks

4d - 2 marks

## **Question 5 - total 2 marks**

The candidate was awarded 2 marks as follows:

5a - 0 marks - not related to the couple

5b - 1 mark for advertising

5c - reason 2 achieves marks reason 1 - vague

5d - 0 marks - no evaluation

# Commentary on Candidate H

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for each question of this Course Assessment component.

## **Question 1 - total 8 marks**

The candidate was awarded marks as follows:

1a - 2 marks - nutrient and function 1 correct

1b - 2 marks

1c - 2 marks

1d - 2 marks - second comment on raw meat is a minimum response

## **Question 2 - total 5 marks**

The candidate was awarded 5 marks as follows:

2a - 3 marks - 3 basic evaluations - no additional point or developing of answer

2b - 2 marks - fruit smoothie answer as marking instructions

## **Question 3 - total 4 marks**

The candidate was awarded 4 marks as follows:

3ai - 1 mark - correct choice

3aii- 2 marks - reason 1 - more detail needed reason 2+3 one mark each

3b - 1 mark - function 2

3c - 0 marks - no evaluation

3di - 1 mark correct label identified

3dii - 1 mark minimum answer explains how it influences consumer choice

## **Question 4 - total 9 marks**

The candidate was awarded 9 marks as follows:

4a - 2 marks

4b - 3 marks - 3 correct answers

4c - 2 marks - although the candidate has given 3 possible answers

4d - 2 marks

## **Question 5 - total 8 marks**

The candidate was awarded 8 marks as follows:

5a - 4 marks - all evaluations are linked to couple however this was considered minimum response

5b - 1 mark - mark awarded for budget but not advertising

5c - 1 mark - awarded for reason 1, reason 2 is vague

5d - 2 marks - both acceptable evaluative comments