## Equality Review Summary



Qualification	History
Level	Higher
<b>REVIEW OF NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS EQUALITY REVIEW</b>	
Date of review	21/01/2019
Coursework and/ or Question Paper revisions	
There will be no change to the assignment task. Following the extension of the question	
paper, the assignment will now have a 27% weighting. The current question paper will be	
extended by 20 marks and the assessment time will increase by 40 minutes. Question paper	
1 will include two sections on British, and European and World contexts. It will be worth 44	
marks and candidates will have 1 hour 30 minutes to complete the question paper. Question	
paper 2 will include one section on Scottish contexts. It will be worth 36 marks and	
candidates will have 1 hour 30 minutes to complete the question paper. Extending the	
question paper will allow more skills, knowledge and understanding to be sampled following	
the removal of units and unit assessments from the course.	
Equality & inclusion issues	
•	en made to the aims, rationale or content of the course. Only the
Course assessment have changed as outlined above. This means that the original equality	
review carried out and attached remains valid and fit for purpose. It should be noted	
however, that the Units, which were a feature of the original ERF, have now been removed. Extension to Question paper	
Disability	
Some disabled candidates may be adversely affected by the introduction of an extended	
question paper. For example, candidates with physical disabilities or those unable to	
concentrate for extended periods may have difficulties demonstrating their attainment. Other	
disabled candidates such as those with mental health difficulties or emotional behavioural	
difficulties may find the longer examination experience overly stressful.	
Religion and belief	
Some candidates may experience fatigue and be adversely affected in an extended question	
paper because they are fasting.	
Actions that will mitigate any adverse impact outlined above	
Although the QP is being extended, it will be split into two separate question papers, with a	
break in between, to ensure the timing is more manageable for candidates. While it is not	
possible to exempt disabled learners from the external QP at Higher where this is an integral	
assessment component, the provision of appropriate assessment arrangements and other	
supportive practices will mitigate the adverse impact for many disabled candidates. For	
example, by the careful consideration of the amount of extra time being requested and by	
further consideration being given as to whether there are any other more appropriate	
assessment arrangements such as using ICT and/or assistive technologies or the inclusion	
of rest breaks.	

It is not possible for SQA to take all possible religious festivals and periods of observance into account when constructing the exam timetable. SQA will take into account, through its exceptional circumstances process, any negative impact such as a candidate taking unwell caused by fasting during an examination.

## ORIGINAL NATIONAL QUALIFICATION DEVELOPMENT EQUALITY REVIEW

Date of review 24 March 2014

Summary of Conclusion and recommendation

As the means of producing evidence to demonstrate a candidate having met the assessment standards is not defined, candidates may make use of a range of evidence-generating techniques. Communication of viewpoints can be carried out using a variety of means: written, oral or using ICT.

The current range of assessment arrangements that feature regularly in current SQA National Courses would provide sufficient support to mitigate any potential adverse impact, for example learners could be supported by readers and/or scribes and assistive technologies could be used.

SQA has gone beyond the requirements of the Equalities Act in the development of the History qualifications, for example liaising with the German Ambassador to consider the language used and eliminating a potential negative impact of the focus of some areas of the course. Support Notes will guide centres to apply sensitivity to their learners when selecting topics and issues for learning and assessment. It is also recommended that any case study, scenario or stimulus materials be inclusive and do not promote stereotypes.