The statistics used in this report have been compiled before the completion of any Post Results Services.

This report provides information on the performance of candidates which it is hoped will be useful to teachers, lecturers and assessors in their preparation of candidates for future assessment. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding. It would be helpful to read this report in conjunction with the published assessment documents and marking instructions.
Section 1: comments on the assessment

Summary of the course assessment

For session 2017/18, there was a decline in the standard of candidate responses. The candidate's written and/or practical work was not in line with the national standards for Higher level. The responses within the written element of the assignment, at times, lacked clarity, detail and did not link to evidence obtained with the assignment. There was evidence that some practical fashion/textile items constructed by the candidates did not have the relevant complexity for Higher and lacked the required skills necessary for section 2, to allow candidates to obtain optimum marks.

These issues were thoroughly discussed during the grade boundary meeting, resulting in a decision to lower the boundaries.

Component 1: assignment (component 1: section 1)

Stage 1: Design and plan

1(a) Identifying key themes from the brief
The two briefs for the 2018 examination were popular with candidates however, brief one 'Develop a fashion/textile item for a teenager inspired by the Orient' was the most popular.

The vast majority of candidates clearly identified the key themes from their chosen brief, with a large number either using the addition of mind-maps or written explanation to provide further clarification. A very small proportion of candidates did not clearly identify the key themes — they either did not present any or did not clearly identify/pull out the key themes.

All centres used the SQA approved briefs contained in the assignment and practical activity assessment task.

1(b)(i–iii) Using appropriate research techniques from relevant sources to select and summarise main points of information
The majority of candidates used three different methods of research techniques to obtain their information, as stipulated within the course assignment guidance. Some candidates were using the same technique, ie internet research for two of their investigations to obtain information on current trends, items for sale, fabric properties/characteristics, fabric trends etc. Therefore, only one investigation can be allocated marks.

It was noted that most centres use similar techniques, interviews, questionnaires and internet research, to obtain relevant data.

The vast majority of research was carried out to a good standard.

Most investigations were laid out in a clear and concise manner, and the information was easy to interpret.

Some investigations were extensive and, on occasion, a large amount of content was lifted from the source (internet). Candidates should be altering large volumes of data into their own words. In some instances, the content of the investigations was not linked to the aim.
The majority of candidates clearly identified the sources used within their investigations, but some candidates omitted the name, position and/or company of the expert who was used for the research. The majority of candidates continue to use the teacher as the only expert for the investigations, particularly to gain information on textiles/construction techniques.

Some candidates are not displaying all results from their investigations — simply stating their findings in their summary. There was no evidence within the investigation to justify their comments, e.g., questionnaire — all questions are visible but have no responses from the target group. Many candidates are only asking three to four questions in an interview. The interview should contain at least five questions, so as to ensure it provides the candidate with sufficient data.

Literary/internet search requires three different sources for a valid investigation. This year there were a reasonable number of centres that carried out high-quality research, with excellent summaries, that allowed for effective progression to the next investigation.

A larger number of centres, this year, presented informative and valid investigations, but did not summarise the findings of the investigation. This prevented candidates from obtaining marks within this element of the assignment.

To ensure candidates can gain marks in latter stages of the assignment, centres should encourage candidates to look at the assignment as a whole rather than separate sections. It is evident that some candidates do not consider the importance of the investigations (1b) in the progression onto further stages of the assignment. This is particularly relevant to 1(c) — the presentation and justification of the solution.

1(c) Present and justify an appropriate solution for a fashion/textile item based on the information generated from the research

Presentation of the solution
The majority of candidates presented their solution using an annotated drawing, with others using images from patterns/internet or a written description.

The majority of candidates provided sufficient detail to allow for the solution to be visualised and replicated. Some candidates did not include the following points of information, which prevented them from obtaining full marks: size/age intended for, textiles, colours, features, construction techniques, embellishments, pattern information etc. A small number of candidates did not attach any illustration or written explanation of their solution as stated in the coursework assessment task.
**Justification of the solution**

This particular section of the assessment task was carried out to a lower standard than in previous years. A small proportion of candidates met the standards by providing the required amount of justifications (minimum four) for the following sections: design features, fabric characteristics and properties and construction techniques.

A large number of candidates did not include a minimum of four justifications for these sections, which prevented them from obtaining maximum marks.

Some candidates chose to list the design features, fabric characteristics and properties, construction techniques and specialist equipment, if any, to be used within the final item. This format tended to benefit the candidates in ensuring they were clearly stating and justifying all the information required.

This year, there were a larger number of candidates not linking their justifications to evidence obtain during their investigations — this is required for candidates to achieve marks in this section. A large number of candidates were using personal opinions or inaccurate information from their investigations.

This was particularly relevant to the section properties/characteristics of the textile. A high proportion of candidates did not correctly justify the property/characteristic of the textile they were using, instead they stated features of the textile, ie soft, colour, and pattern. Candidates should justify the textile, ie absorbency, durability, colour fastness, using evidence from their investigations. A large number of candidates did not carry out a relevant or detailed investigation in 1(b), centred on the suitability of the textile(s) to be used and their associated properties/characteristics. This prevented the candidates from correctly justifying their textile in 1(c), as it was opinion as opposed to evidence, resulting in them being unable to gain marks in this section.

In addition, some candidates simply stated the points without justification, so were not awarded marks. Centres should ensure that all points are clearly justified, using appropriate information generated from the research carried out.

**1(d) Prepare a plan for the manufacture of the fashion/textile item**

Plans were carried out to a higher standard this year and were, generally, well presented in a clear and logical manner, with timings being broken into realistic segments.

Timings should be in 10–15 minute blocks, rather than 50–55 minute blocks/lessons. Most candidates included pressing/finishing throughout the process.

There were a higher number of plans which were too detailed and in particular rewritten from the commercial pattern instructions. Candidates should write plans that reflect the pattern instructions in a more simplified format, and in their own words.

Most plans were written prior to the manufacturing of the item and were used by candidates to assist them throughout the construction process. Only a small number of plans had been written retrospectively, which prevents candidates from obtaining some marks in this section.

**1(e) Prepare a requisition for required textiles, components, tools and equipment for the manufacture of the fashion/textile item**
Most candidates identified all the textiles/components required to manufacture the final fashion/textile item. The majority of candidates included the measurements of textiles/components used for the solutions in metric measurements.

Stage 3: Evaluation (Component 1: Section 2)

3(a)(i–ii) Using an appropriate test, which relates specifically to the suitability of the textiles and/or components used, to provide relevant information about the item
Section (i–ii) was completed well and to a good standard, with most candidates using appropriate tests to gain valid information, allowing them to progress effectively throughout section 3. The majority of tests identified the sources used and were laid out in a clear and concise structure. Some candidates state the source as a textile expert, but do not include their name/occupation/workplace. This is required to ensure the test is valid.

All candidates carried out the required test, to gain data on the suitability of the textile/components used in the manufacturing of their solution. The majority of candidates used sensory tests and interviews in section (i–ii). Most candidates gained information that allowed them to identify relevant adaptions or amendments of the fashion/textile item.

It is important that candidates present all their findings of the tests, ie sensory test data/responses, to ensure their key information is factual and based on evidence.

3(a)(iii) Identifying key information for use in evaluation
This section was generally carried out well. Key information obtained from the tests was identified clearly for use in section 3(b).

3(b) Evaluating the fashion/textile item, based on the results of testing
Evaluations were of a far lower standard this year. A smaller proportion of candidates presented clear evaluative statements which were generated using evidence gained in the tests 3(a). A larger proportion of candidates are not evaluating correctly — these were written as statements or were personal opinion and did not link to information obtained from the tests in 3(a). This is clearly stipulated in the SQA guidance notes for the practical activity and assignment, stating that all evaluations should link to the item manufactured and information obtained from investigations/tests.

3(c) Improving or adapting the product
This section was completed to a good standard, with the majority of candidates identifying features of their fashion/textile item that could be improved or adapted. The majority of responses demonstrated clear links to data obtained from either the tests 3(a) or investigations 1(b). The justification for the improvement/adaption was not completed well by candidates. More emphasis needs to be placed upon these justifications, as candidates did not focus on this element and therefore were not awarded marks. Candidates failed to correctly justify from evidence and simply used personal opinion.
Component 2: practical activity

Stage 2: Making the fashion/textile item

2(a) Make and finish a complex fashion/textile item using at least eight appropriate textile construction techniques
The internally-assessed course assessments at Higher level showed increasing confidence by centres regarding the assessment criteria, and the projects tackled by candidates. The majority of candidates manufactured their solution using appropriate construction techniques, as stated in the construction techniques marking tariff grid for Higher level.

A large proportion of candidates carried out this section of the course assessment to a good standard and achieved a high tariff of marks. Techniques selected were appropriate to the fashion/textile item being manufactured. The techniques allowed for the effective construction of the item with consideration of the fabric choices made by the candidate, despite the oriental brief leading to many candidates using brocade, which proved to be very challenging, in terms of fraying and slipping, to sew accurately.

Some centres demonstrated an excellent standard of manufactured items. These items showed a high standard of workmanship and complex skills were used. A number of candidates demonstrated very creative items for their final solution.

There were continued concerns that some candidates’ solutions were not complex enough for Higher level. These items did not have the accurate level of complex construction techniques within the item to allow them to be Higher level items. The standard of the finished fashion/textile item was completed appropriately and was generally in line with national standards.

The marking instructions for the practical element have assisted in preventing candidates from being awarded overly high marks. This more realistic range of marks meant a slight adjustment at the grade boundary meeting, bringing the grade boundaries down a little from the compensatory level at which they had to be set last year.

All centres used the Higher Construction Techniques Marking Instructions document correctly, although misidentification of techniques continues to be an issue in some centres, eg zips being identified and marked as concealed zips when they were plain zips, and working with patterns, where very simple modifications such as lengthen a sleeve, were marked as complex modification and awarded 6 marks, resulting in higher marks.

2(b) Evaluate the effectiveness of the plan in a record of work
This section was generally completed to a good standard. There was evidence that most candidates had effectively evaluated their plans of work throughout the manufacturing process. Some candidates evaluated each process. More focus should be placed on this section of the practical activity, as some candidates had minimal evaluations against their plans, and made statements rather than evaluative comments.
Section 2: comments on candidate performance

Areas in which candidates performed well

Component 1: assignment

Stage 1: Design and plan (component 1: section 1)

1(a) Identifying key themes from the brief
The majority of candidates successfully identified all the correct key themes. Candidates effectively selected the correct key themes and either highlighted, underlined or pulled the themes from the brief. This allowed candidates to be awarded marks for this section. A number of candidates used mind-maps to further develop their understanding of the briefs.

1(b)(i–iii) Using appropriate research techniques from relevant sources to select and summarise main points of information
A good proportion of candidates completed their research, using a variety of techniques, to a good standard. Most of the research conducted was easy to interpret, concise, and factual, and contained an appropriate source. The information contained within the investigations was generally to a good standard. Most of the investigations were progressive, allowing candidates to effectively gather information, enabling them to select an appropriate final solution. A number of candidates used the findings from one investigation to help identify what they needed to investigate in their next investigation.

1(c) Present and justify an appropriate solution for a fashion/textile item based on the information generated from the research

Presenting the solution
Candidates performed well in this segment of the coursework. They presented their solutions in a clear, informative manner, allowing it to be clearly visualised. A variety of approaches were adopted by the candidates in the presentation of their final solution. The majority of candidates included an annotated illustration of their solution and provided information on the item. Examples of the information included are: design features, textiles and components and construction techniques, colours, age of target group, size, pattern information and any other relevant information.

The candidates that performed well within the presenting section clearly linked information derived from their investigations 1(b), to their presentation.

Justifying the solution
Justification of the solution, was not carried out to a high standard, with a large proportion of candidates not performing well in this area.

1(d) Prepare a plan for the manufacture of the fashion/textile item
The majority of candidates created effective time plans that assisted them with the manufacturing of the fashion/textile item. Most candidates allocated 10–15 minute blocks to a task.
1(e) Prepare a requisition for required textiles, components, tools and equipment for the manufacture of the fashion/textile item
Candidates included the majority of materials required for the construction of the fashion/textile item. Generally, areas of information that candidates had omitted were the dimensions of the textiles and zips, and the colours of components, e.g. zips/thread/embellishments.

Some candidates did not write their requisition in metric measurements. All textiles used to manufacture the fashion/textile item should be written in metric measurements.

Stage 3: Evaluation (component 1: section 2)

3(a)(i–iii) Using an appropriate test, which relates specifically to the suitability of the textiles and/or components used, to provide relevant information/identifying key information for use in evaluation
The majority of candidates produced tests that enabled them to gain quality feedback on the finished fashion/textile item. Candidates used suitable experts to gain information on the suitability of their textiles and components used to manufacture the fashion/textile item.

Most candidates clearly identified the experts used for testing. This is necessary to ensure the investigation is valid.

3(b) Evaluating the fashion/textile item, based on the results of testing
The evaluation of the fashion/textile item was not carried out to a high standard. A large proportion of candidates did not perform well in this section.

3(c) Improving or adapting the product
Almost all candidates provided a point of improvement/adaption to their fashion/textile item. The points of change were relevant and linked to evidence obtained in the tests 3(a) or the investigations 1(b).

Component 2: Practical activity

Stage 2: Making the fashion/textile item

2(a) Make and finish a complex fashion/textile item using at least eight appropriate textile construction techniques
The variety of items produced, by a number of candidates, was outstanding and they demonstrated excellent creativity, technical ability and skills. A good range of items was observed, with candidates clearly being allowed personalisation and choice by the majority of centres. Many candidates focused on the creation of their textile item as the most important part of the activity, and it tends to be the most time-consuming. A good range of solutions were manufactured by the candidates, with a good level of complex techniques being incorporated into the fashion/textile item — some particular high tariff techniques were prevalent, such as highly skilled embroidery, piped seams and mandarin collars. Many items showed creativity and imagination and were generally manufactured to a good quality finish, and candidates effectively demonstrated the skills that they had developed during the course.
Generally, candidates who had progressed from the National 5 Fashion and Textile Technology course produced items that demonstrated more complex skills, and their workmanship was of a very good standard.

2(b) Evaluate the effectiveness of the plan in a record of work
Candidates generally performed well in making regular comments against their original plan.

Areas which candidates found demanding
Component 1: assignment

Stage 1: Design and plan (Component 1: Section 1)

1(b)(i–iii) Using appropriate research techniques from relevant sources to select and summarise main points of information
In a number of cases, candidates did not obtain marks for their investigations as they did not successfully summarise points of information from the research. They simply repeated evidence and did not show a progressive approach to their conclusions. Candidates must pull information from the investigation, and conclude in the summary as to how it will assist them in moving forward.

Other candidates did not obtain marks as they did not provide any evidence within their investigations. For example, some candidates carried out research using a questionnaire but did not provide data, only the questions that were asked. The summaries were therefore not valid as they were not factual, but personal statements.

A proportion of candidates carried out excellent investigation which met the criteria however, they did not provide any summaries of the evidence obtained, therefore preventing them from obtaining marks. A small number of candidates carried out extensive research, but it was not in line with the information set out in the 'SQA Assignment and Practical Activity Coursework General Assessment Information'. To ensure candidates can gain marks in section 1(c), it would be beneficial to investigate properties and characteristics of fabrics and construction techniques that they may consider for their solution. A number of candidates are not considering this when completing 1(b).

If candidates use a mood board as a research technique, they must indicate the sources of information and include points of summary. A number of candidates included mood boards without appropriate supplementary information, and therefore did not meet the requirements for 1(b).

1(c) Present and justify an appropriate solution for a fashion/textile item based on the information generated from the research
Candidates presented their solutions in varying formats. Some candidates did not present their solution with the required level of detail necessary for this section and did not show links to the information derived from their investigations.

A large number of candidates justified the points identified, but many of these that linked to the properties/characteristics and construction techniques were not derived from evidence gained from the investigations, so marks could not be awarded.
This year, candidates did not perform well when justifying the following areas: design features, properties/characteristics of the textile(s), construction techniques and any other specialist equipment.

Candidates did not meet the standards as follows:

1) A larger number of candidates, this year, did not provide the appropriate number of justifications—design features, properties/characteristics of the textile(s) or construction techniques, as set out in the SQA guidance to centres. A minimum of four from each of these sections is required to allow candidates to gain marks.

2) Candidates did not correctly justify the above areas linked to evidence from investigation 1(b). A large proportion of candidates simply used personal opinion to justify this area.

3) Candidates did not carry out an investigation in 1(b) to provide relevant evidence for the justification of the properties/characteristics and construction techniques. These justifications were from opinion and knowledge but had no reference from evidence obtained in 1(b) therefore marks could not be awarded.

   It is clearly stated in the ‘Instructions for candidates’ section of the coursework assessment task that all justifications must come from evidence obtained during the investigations.

3(b) Evaluating the fashion/textile item, based on the results of testing

A large number of candidates did not perform as expected within this section of the assignment.

The candidates did not meet the criteria of an evaluative response due to a number of factors, eg:

1) Candidates did not link their evaluation back to their item. There was no reference made to it in relation to the response.

2) Some candidates did not provide any opinion/judgement on the item or to the evaluative response made.

3) A number of candidates did not use evidence from investigations/tests within their statements.

4) Some candidates did not understand how to write an evaluative response. These candidates’ answers were unstructured or did not provide a fact on the item.

Component 2: Practical activity

2(a) Make and finish a complex fashion/textile item using at least eight appropriate textile construction techniques

Some candidates found the manufacturing of a complex fashion/textile item challenging and did not use eight or more construction techniques. These candidates’ items did not demonstrate enough techniques from the 5–6 mark columns in the Higher Construction
Techniques Marking Instructions. Some techniques were incorrectly identified, impacting on the marks allocated.

Some items produced were detailed, but lacked the complex skills to ensure they were suitable for the Higher Fashion and Textile Technology course.

Centres should ensure that the fashion/textile item that the candidates select to manufacture has the level of challenge and complexity required for the Higher course.

Some candidates did not finish the item to the correct standard. These candidates did not trim or press the fashion/textile item effectively, so the item was not aesthetically pleasing. Other candidates found some techniques too challenging — for example zips, waistbands and collars — which affected the overall finish and appearance. Some of these items were not fit for their intended purpose due to the standard of finish.

Some candidates used unstable textiles which they found challenging to work with. This had an impact on the finished quality and appearance of the item. Candidates should consider the chosen textiles’ characteristics and their own capabilities/skills to ensure that the item manufactured is completed to an appropriate quality.

2(b) Evaluate the effectiveness of the plan in a record of work

Evaluating their plan was an area of weakness for many candidates. Most candidates had made regular comments on their plans, but few of these were fully evaluative in nature.

The responses demonstrated good referencing to facts but did not indicate the candidates’ opinion or a consequence.
Section 3: advice for the preparation of future candidates

Component 1: assignment
Stage 1: Design and plan

1(a) Key themes
Centres must use the SQA briefs that are published annually and available from SQA’s secure site.

Candidates should ensure that they clearly highlight the chosen themes of the brief. This can be achieved by underlining, by creating mind maps of the selected themes or identifying and explaining each of the themes.

1(b) Investigations
All candidates should clearly state the identified expert in all investigations. For example, if a candidate is interviewing a fabric shop manager, they should state the interviewee’s name, job title and place of work. (e.g. A. Burns, fabric shop manager, Mandors Glasgow). A large number of candidates are referring to the source as a ‘textile expert’, which does not provide sufficient information. Further information on their suitability is required.

All investigations should have at least four points of summary/conclusion that show progression. Candidates should not simply repeat information found in the investigation; they should indicate how the point highlighted will assist them with their selection of the final fashion/textile item.

Candidates should be encouraged to choose their own methods of research and sources, which will allow them to select and produce a personalised solution. Candidates should not have selected the solution they will manufacture prior to any research. All research should be progressive and lead to the final solution.

A large proportion of candidates are using mood boards as part of their investigations. It is essential that all sources, e.g. magazines/websites/journals, are stated, to ensure that the investigation is valid. In addition, a number of candidates, when using a mood board, do not summarise their findings, so they are not meeting the standards for 1(b).

To ensure candidates are meeting the standards in 1(c), it would be beneficial if one investigation is linked to the property and/or characteristics of textiles/components/construction techniques. This will assist the candidates in 1(c) when justifying their chosen textiles properties/characteristics and construction techniques that they will use to manufacture their chosen item. All results from investigations should be clearly laid out. Some candidates do not include the responses from interviews/questionnaire/sensory tests/internet/literary searches, but a summary of the findings in their own words. This does not accurately represent the findings of the investigations. The candidate has the opportunity to summarise their findings at the end of each investigation.

Recommendations for investigations:

♦ interview — minimum five questions, clearly stating the source/expert
questionnaire — 20 respondents, clearly stating the target group
internet research — minimum three different sources, clearly identifying each with the relevant URL

1(c) Present and justify the solution
The presentation of the solution should provide sufficient information to (1) visualise it and (2) replicate the item in the future.

Candidates can use a number of techniques to present their solutions; most popular is an annotated illustration or an image of the item. Any format selected by the candidate must include detailed information on the solution. This could include design features, colours, textiles, components, construction techniques etc.

When candidates are justifying their solution, they must ensure that there is a minimum of four points, with justifications, for design features, properties/characteristics and construction techniques. If there are less than four points in a particular section, e.g. design features, the candidate will be unable to obtain full marks for that section as it has not met the standards for 1(c). Candidates should ensure that they link design features, properties/characteristics of their chosen textile and construction techniques from evidence derived from 1(b). This is an area that candidates are not achieving well and consequently are unable to attain full marks.

Candidates should ensure that they clearly justify the reasons for the design features on their fashion and textile item, properties/characteristics of the textile chosen, and the construction and specialist techniques selected.

Examples of specialist equipment are overlockers, embroidery machines, tailor’s dummy etc. There is no quantity against this section, unlike the others that require a minimum of four. Items such as sewing machines, needles, tailor’s chalk are not deemed specialist equipment.

1(d) Plans
Plans should not be written retrospectively. They should be broken into realistic time blocks for tasks, rather than 50–55 minute periods. Candidates should not rewrite a commercial paper plan — they should write it into their own words.

1(e) Requisition
Candidates should include as much detail as possible when completing section 1(e).

Candidates should state all textiles and components in metric measurements. All dimensions of textiles used should be included. Colours of all trimming, components and embellishments should be stated. When using embellishment, for example sequins or beads, it is acceptable to state one bag rather than exact quantity required.
Stage 3: Evaluation

3(a–c) Tests/evaluations/adaptions
Ideally, candidates should complete their evaluations using the fact, opinion, consequence format, as this will assist candidates to successfully fulfil the standards of 3(b). Candidates should justify all amendments/adaptions that they highlight. These points should reflect evidence gathered in either the investigations 1(b) or the tests 2(a).

Good practice
Some centres created a pro forma for their candidates. When this was used, candidates were generally more successful in meeting the standard for the coursework assessment. It would be useful for centres to ensure candidates number the pages.

Centres should ensure that candidates are producing different fashion/textile items. All candidates manufactured the same solution at a number of centres. Centres should ensure that there is personalisation and choice available to all candidates when they are completing their coursework assignment and practical activity. The investigations should guide the candidate in creating an individual and personalised item. The item should reflect the key points summarised in each investigation.

The majority of centres/candidates used photographic/video evidence to good effect. Some centres have submitted images of all construction techniques carried out by the candidate during the manufacturing of their solution.

Ensure there is photographic evidence of resources and components prior to construction of the final solution.

Component 2: assignment

Stage 2(a-b) Making the fashion/textile item
In stage 2, candidates are awarded marks for the construction techniques selected and the quality of the finished item. Some of the fashion/textile items selected by the candidates were, at times, not complex and challenging enough for the Higher course. Centres must ensure that candidates are manufacturing an item that is complex, as stated in the Higher coursework assessment task. The item to be manufactured by the candidate should demonstrate complex skills, reflecting detailed construction techniques and a high quality finish.

Centre marking of section 2 was generally in line with SQA guidelines. Assessors need to ensure that they have fully read and understood the most up-to-date versions of the marking documentation. In particular, the marking instructions for the construction techniques need to be carefully considered. Centres might find the Understanding Standards Textile Construction Techniques document helpful in preventing incorrect identification of techniques.

Candidates need to be encouraged to choose the appropriate number of techniques, including the specified number from the high tariff columns, otherwise there is a cap on the number of marks to be gained in this section. Assessors also need to ensure that they are correctly identifying construction techniques and applying appropriate marks according to the
accuracy with which the candidate has carried them out. For example, a covered button with puckers in the fabric, or threads fraying out of the back, should not be given the full mark allocation for this process. Herringbone stitch should be accurate and evenly spaced to gain high marks.

When selecting a suitable textile for the solution, candidates should have used information derived from investigations, in particular the investigation that refers to the appropriate textile.

The centre/candidates should ensure that the solution can be manufactured within an appropriate timescale. Some candidates are making very complex items, running out of time to complete section 3, which then has an impact on the quality of the written aspect of the assignment.

The majority of centres are correctly awarding marks in 2(b) for the evaluation against the plan. When evaluating their plans, candidates need to be encouraged to make evaluative comments rather than simple observations.

**Good practice**

Most of the candidates were presented at the correct level. Centres had made good judgements on the practical course assessment and consistently applied the correct standards to ensure candidates were fairly marked.

The majority of centres used the Higher assignment and practical activity assessment records effectively, for the recording of marks and candidate’s performance, for each section of the coursework.

Centres should ensure that all candidates sign a flyleaf and that it is inserted into the envelope provided by SQA prior to uplift.

Whilst it was pleasing to see that the conditions of assessment for coursework were adhered to in the majority of centres, there were a small number of examples where this may not have been the case. Following feedback from teachers, we have strengthened the conditions of assessment for Higher.

The conditions of assessment are published clearly on our website and in course materials and must be adhered to. SQA takes very seriously its obligation to ensure fairness and equity for all candidates in all qualifications through consistent application of assessment conditions and investigates all cases alerted to us where conditions may not have been met.
Grade boundary and statistical information:

Statistical information: update on courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of resulted entries in 2017</th>
<th>282</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of resulted entries in 2018</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical information: performance of candidates

Distribution of course awards including grade boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of course awards</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Cumulative%</th>
<th>Number of candidates</th>
<th>Lowest mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum mark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No award</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General commentary on grade boundaries

SQA’s main aim is to be fair to candidates across all subjects and all levels and maintain comparable standards across the years, even as arrangements evolve and change.

SQA aims to set examinations and create marking instructions which allow a competent candidate to score a minimum of 50% of the available marks (the notional C boundary) and a well prepared, very competent candidate to score at least 70% of the available marks (the notional A boundary).

It is very challenging to get the standard on target every year, in every subject at every level.

Therefore SQA holds a grade boundary meeting every year for each subject at each level to bring together all the information available (statistical and judgemental). The Principal Assessor and SQA Qualifications Manager meet with the relevant SQA Business Manager and Statistician to discuss the evidence and make decisions. The meetings are chaired by members of the management team at SQA.

- The grade boundaries can be adjusted downwards if there is evidence that the exam is more challenging than usual, allowing the pass rate to be unaffected by this circumstance.
- The grade boundaries can be adjusted upwards if there is evidence that the exam is less challenging than usual, allowing the pass rate to be unaffected by this circumstance.
- Where standards are comparable to previous years, similar grade boundaries are maintained.

Grade boundaries from exam papers in the same subject at the same level tend to be marginally different year to year. This is because the particular questions, and the mix of questions, are different. This is also the case for exams set by centres. If SQA alters a boundary, this does not mean that centres should necessarily alter their boundary in the corresponding practice exam paper.