



Malpractice: Information for centres

Valid from March 2025

This edition: v3.2

Publication code: AA7694

Published by the Scottish Qualifications Authority

The Optima Building, 58 Robertson Street, Glasgow G2 8DQ Lowden, 24 Wester

Shawfair, Dalkeith, EH22 1FD www.sqa.org.uk

The information in this publication may be reproduced in support of SQA qualifications. If it is reproduced, SQA should be clearly acknowledged as the source. If it is to be used for any other purpose, written permission must be obtained from SQA. It must not be reproduced for trade or commercial purposes.

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2025

Change history

Version Number	Revision date	Summary of Changes	Author
V3.2	March 2025	Version number & revision date updated.	SS
V3.2	March 2025	Additional information added to Part A, 2 Definition Information added into reasons why malpractice can arise.	SS
V3.2	March 2025	Additional information added into Part B, section 2 Candidate Malpractice. Information added regarding safeguarding. Stronger language added to section 4 referral to the malpractice panel.	SS
V3.2	March 2025	Wording removed from Part C Centre Malpractice. Additional information added into section 'Centre procedures for Centre malpractice investigations'.	SS
V3.2	March 2025	Additional section added into appendix 1, on 'Falsification of certificate'.	SS

Contents

Introduction	1
Part A: General malpractice information	3
1 Introduction	4
2 Definitions	5
3 Minimising the risk of malpractice	6
4 Working together with SQA	8
Part B: Candidate malpractice	9
1 Introduction	10
2 Candidate malpractice	11
3 Procedure for responding to concerns of candidate malpractice	12
4 Referral to the malpractice panel	18
Part C: Centre malpractice	20
1 Introduction	21
2 Centre malpractice	22
3 Procedure for responding to concerns of centre malpractice	24
4 Referral to the malpractice panel	29
Part D: Appeals against malpractice decisions	31
1 Appeals against decisions about candidate malpractice	32
2 Appeals against decisions about centre malpractice	32
3 Additional appeal procedures — for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation	32
4 Complaints	33
Part E: Retaining records relating to malpractice	34
1 Retaining records relating to malpractice	35
2 Retaining SQA centre malpractice panel decisions	35
3 Retaining SQA candidate malpractice panel decisions	35
Appendix 1: Examples of penalties for candidate malpractice in SQA external assessments	37

Introduction

Now, more than ever, learners need SQA and partners to work well together in the design and delivery of a portfolio of credible qualifications, with a strong, fair and consistent national standard.

Concerns arising from the delivery, assessment or quality assurance of SQA qualifications can put the credibility of our qualifications at risk, devalue the hard work of our candidates and potentially impact their future success. To avoid this, we will ensure that our processes and qualifications are designed to minimise any potential for malpractice, and it is vital that centres do the same.

SQA is a non-departmental public body sponsored by the Scottish Government's Learning Directorate. Our statutory functions are set out mainly in the Education (Scotland) Act 1996. These include:

- ◆ 'to determine the entitlement of individuals to SQA qualifications and, where a person is so entitled, to award and record such a qualification'
- ◆ 'to approve education and training establishments as being suitable for presenting persons for SQA qualifications'

Ensuring fairness of assessment, which includes preventing and addressing malpractice in the design and delivery of our qualifications and assessments, is fundamental to our purpose, and is the cornerstone of the qualifications system on which learners depend.

Where concerns of possible malpractice arise, they must be dealt with, by SQA and by others, sensitively, robustly and above all fairly. These can be difficult situations for the individuals involved. SQA is always focused on maintaining the integrity of certification and ensuring fairness for those involved, particularly any candidates affected. All concerns of potential malpractice must be investigated consistently, fairly and impartially.

This document:

- ◆ explains SQA's definition of malpractice, giving examples in a range of contexts
- ◆ describes the arrangements that we expect our centres to put in place to minimise the risk of malpractice
- ◆ explains how we expect concerns of malpractice to be handled, if and when they arise

The document is in five main parts:

- ◆ Part A: General malpractice information
- ◆ Part B: Candidate malpractice
- ◆ Part C: Centre malpractice
- ◆ Part D: Appeals against malpractice decisions
- ◆ Part E: Retaining records relating to malpractice

The information in these five parts explains the particular arrangements that apply to most SQA qualifications, including those that are subject to regulation by SQA Accreditation. Arrangements for those SQA qualifications subject to regulation by

Ofqual or Qualifications Wales are explained in [Malpractice policy and procedures for SQA Qualifications regulated by Ofqual and/or Qualification Wales](#).

As well as the legislation setting out SQA's statutory functions, we have to be mindful of a range of other legislation that applies when dealing with concerns of malpractice, including legislation relating to information.

Note: Throughout this guide, 'SQA' refers to SQA as an awarding body. When we are referring to SQA's regulatory or accreditation function, we will use 'SQA Accreditation'.

Part A: General malpractice information

A1 Introduction

This part of the document sets out SQA's definition of malpractice by describing what it is and how malpractice can arise. It provides advice and guidance on ways to minimise malpractice, and describes our joint responsibilities with centres to maintain the credibility of SQA qualifications by dealing effectively with malpractice concerns.

SQA requirements

Throughout this document, when we refer to 'SQA requirements' we mean a range of general and specific requirements, including those described below.

Centres offering SQA qualifications should comply with SQA's Quality Assurance Criteria. These relate to:

- ◆ management of a centre
- ◆ resources
- ◆ candidate support
- ◆ internal assessment and verification
- ◆ external assessment (where relevant)
- ◆ data management

SQA also explains qualification-specific requirements in documents such as unit and course specifications, course assessment specifications, secure assessment tasks, assessment strategies and group award strategies.

A2 Definitions

Malpractice means any act, default or practice (whether deliberate or resulting from neglect or default) that is a breach of SQA requirements, including any act, default or practice that:

- ◆ compromises, attempts to compromise, or may compromise, the process of assessment, the integrity of any SQA qualification, or the validity of a result or certificate
- ◆ damages the authority, reputation or credibility of SQA or any officer, employee or agent of SQA

Malpractice can arise for a variety of reasons:

- ◆ Some incidents are intentional and aim to give an unfair advantage or disadvantage in an examination or assessment (deliberate non-compliance). Examples might include:
 - completing assessment work on behalf of learners
 - falsification of information leading to certification, or falsification of a certificate
- ◆ Some incidents of malpractice are unintentional. We define unintentional malpractice as 'maladministration', which includes incidents that arise due to

ignorance of SQA requirements, carelessness or neglect in applying the requirements. Examples might include:

- seeking approval to offer a new qualification after the deadline for new approval applications has passed
- requesting late certification of learners after a regulated qualification's certification end date

Malpractice can include both deliberate non-compliance with SQA requirements and maladministration in the assessment and delivery of SQA qualifications.

It is necessary to investigate any concerns of potential malpractice, whether they are intentional or not, to protect the integrity of the qualification and to identify any wider lessons to be learned.

Where SQA becomes aware of concerns of possible malpractice, its approach will be fair, robust and proportionate to the nature of the concern. These procedures will be applied where SQA judges there is a risk to the integrity of certification which is not being successfully managed through our regular processes, such as verification.

A3 Minimising the risk of malpractice

SQA expects centres to take all reasonable steps to prevent any malpractice. This includes the development, implementation and monitoring of policies and procedures to minimise any opportunity for malpractice, including in:

- ◆ design of assessments
- ◆ security of assessment materials
- ◆ assessment delivery and completion of assessments
- ◆ quality assurance of assessment
- ◆ authentication of candidate evidence
- ◆ management of candidate assessment data
- ◆ management of the centre's administrative systems

SQA's [Guide to Assessment](#) can help centres to minimise the risk of malpractice by suggesting ways of designing assessments or assessing and authenticating candidate evidence.

Every centre needs a robust internal quality assurance system, with appropriate internal verification, to enable their management team to identify and take early action to address any concerns about assessment practice. This is also an SQA requirement for centres undertaking internal assessment.

Centres must deal fairly with any concerns of potential candidate or centre malpractice. Centres should develop, implement and monitor procedures to do this, including a documented system and procedure for recording, and where appropriate reporting to SQA, all concerns of possible centre or candidate malpractice. This information must be available for SQA quality assurance activities on site, and/or on request.

The SQA documents listed below may help centres in developing these policies and procedures:

- ◆ [Guide to Assessment](#)

- ◆ [Authenticating Learners' Work: good practice guide](#)
- ◆ [Assessment: A Guide for Centres offering Regulated Qualifications](#)
- ◆ [Guidance on conditions of assessment for coursework](#)
- ◆ [Systems and Qualification Approval Guide](#)
- ◆ [Systems Verification Criteria: Guidance for Centres](#)
- ◆ [Internal verification: A guide for centres](#)
- ◆ [Internal Verification Toolkit \(NQ\)](#)
- ◆ [Internal Verification Toolkit \(HN and vocational qualifications\)](#)
- ◆ [Your Exams](#)
- ◆ [Your National Qualifications](#)

See also: [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#)

Centres must be able to demonstrate that they have taken reasonable steps to ensure that candidates understand their responsibilities in relation to malpractice. Centres must also ensure that staff members involved in delivering SQA qualifications are aware of SQA assessment requirements, as well as the malpractice procedures explained in this document, and act accordingly.

Centres should consider including this document as a compulsory part of induction materials for relevant members of staff.

A4 Working together with SQA

Even when robust steps are taken to prevent malpractice, it is possible that centres may still face instances of actual malpractice or potential malpractice. SQA expects centres to be vigilant to possible cases of malpractice, and to deal with such concerns in an open and fair manner. This includes assisting with our requests for information and implementing any actions to be taken during and after a malpractice investigation.

In the event that a centre fails to comply with SQA's procedures, we will take action to minimise any risk to the integrity of certification. The action we take might include suspension of candidate registration, certification and access to SQA systems at the centre, or increased monitoring of the centre.

Bringing concerns of malpractice to SQA's attention at an early stage is part of a robust, proactive and transparent approach to malpractice within a centre. It helps discussions between the centre and SQA on how best to investigate the matter, and how to minimise any risk of delay to candidate certification.

Centres can obtain advice from SQA co-ordinators and local authorities (where applicable), and SQA staff members can advise on development of policies relating to malpractice, or how to deal with a particular concern. Advice can be obtained from:

- ◆ SQA Malpractice and Complaints Team via malpractice@sqa.org.uk
- ◆ Liaison managers and quality enhancement managers

Part B: Candidate malpractice

B1 Introduction

This part of the document explains how SQA will approach concerns of possible candidate malpractice in internal or external assessments, and describes SQA's expectations of centres. It describes how candidate malpractice can occur; provides examples of candidate malpractice; and explains the procedures to be followed when responding to concerns of possible candidate malpractice in internal or external assessment.

It also describes the role of the malpractice panel when dealing with concerns of possible candidate malpractice, and the appeals process against candidate malpractice decisions.

B2 Candidate malpractice

Candidate malpractice means any type of malpractice by a candidate which threatens the integrity of an examination or assessment.

Malpractice by a candidate can occur, for example, in:

- ◆ the preparation and authentication of coursework
- ◆ the preparation or presentation of practical work
- ◆ the compilation of a portfolio of assessment evidence
- ◆ the completion of an examination paper, or the controlled write-up stage of externally- assessed coursework
- ◆ conduct during or after an assessment

The following are examples of candidate malpractice, but centres should be vigilant to other instances of potential malpractice that may undermine the integrity of qualifications, or other activity by candidates that may amount to candidate malpractice. Examples could include:

- ◆ Breaching the security of assessment materials in a way which threatens the integrity of any exam or assessment — including the early and unauthorised removal of a question paper or answer booklet from the examination room.
- ◆ Breaching the defined conditions of an assessment (for example, completing work outside of controlled conditions or misuse of AI tools).
- ◆ Collusion — working collaboratively with other candidates beyond what is permitted.
- ◆ Copying from another candidate.
- ◆ Falsification of SQA certificates — the alteration or falsification of any results document, including certificates.
- ◆ Frivolous content — producing content that is unrelated to the assessment.
- ◆ Misconduct — inappropriate behaviour in an assessment room that is disruptive or disrespectful to others. This includes talking, shouting and/or aggressive behaviour or language in the examination room.
- ◆ Offensive content — content in assessment materials that includes vulgarity and swearing that is outwith the context of the assessment, or any material that is discriminatory in nature (including discrimination in relation to the protected characteristics identified in the Equality Act 2010). This should not be read as inhibiting candidates' rights to freedom of expression.

- ◆ Personation — assuming the identity of another candidate, or a candidate having someone assume their identity during an assessment.
- ◆ Plagiarism — failure to acknowledge sources properly and/or the submission of work that is not the candidate's own (this could be another person's work or work taken from a generative AI source).
- ◆ Prohibited items — possessing items that candidates must not have with them at their allocated seat in the examination room because they can give an unfair advantage, including (but not restricted to): mobile phones; electronic devices such as an MP3 player, iPod, tablet, smartwatch or any other device that is web-enabled or stores information; books, notes, sketches or paper; pencil case; calculator case; calculator or dictionary (except in specified assessments) — unless approved by SQA as part of an assessment arrangement.

B3 Procedure for responding to concerns of candidate malpractice

This section explains the steps to be taken by SQA and our centres in responding to concerns of candidate malpractice.

From SQA's perspective, the response to any concern of potential malpractice may include up to three stages: initial screening, investigation and decision.

The detailed steps to be taken within each of these broad stages, and relative responsibilities for SQA and our centres, may vary depending on the type of assessment affected:

- ◆ **Internal assessment:** an assessment for an SQA qualification where the assessment judgement is made within the centre. Internal assessments are subject to both internal verification by the centre and external verification by SQA. Procedures for dealing with malpractice in internal assessments apply where the assessment is set by SQA but marked by the centre (including e-marking of online assessments).
- ◆ **External assessment:** an assessment set and marked by SQA examiners. Most SQA external assessments relate to our National Qualifications. These procedures will rarely apply to our vocational qualifications, as very few of these have externally-marked elements.

There are some additional requirements where the concern relates to a qualification regulated by SQA Accreditation. These regulated qualifications, such as SVQs, assess knowledge, understanding and occupational competence in the workplace. They do not include SQA National Qualifications or Higher National Qualifications. The additional requirements for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation are explained further where relevant.

Internal assessment

SQA requires centres to have policies and procedures to investigate concerns of possible candidate malpractice in internal assessments, and to manage this appropriately within the centre. We have outlined the topics that these policies and procedures should cover below.

Before a malpractice concern arises and throughout an investigation

- ◆ Ensuring that all candidates and staff are aware of your centre's policies for malpractice, and their responsibilities and rights during and following an investigation into alleged malpractice, including their rights of appeal.

When the centre becomes aware of the potential malpractice

- ◆ Ensuring that no candidate results relevant to an investigation are submitted to SQA during the course of the investigation.
- ◆ Conducting any investigation in accordance with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#).

Where malpractice has been established by an investigation

- ◆ Applying an appropriate action or decision when a case of candidate malpractice has been upheld.
- ◆ Reviewing internal quality assurance procedures following malpractice investigations, to minimise the risk of further malpractice, and implementing any required corrective actions.
- ◆ Retaining appropriate records for the relevant period (see [Part E: Retaining records relating to malpractice](#)).

The conduct of the investigation

Candidates who are under investigation for potential malpractice should be provided with:

- ◆ information about the concern raised about them and the supporting evidence
- ◆ information about the possible consequences if malpractice is established
- ◆ the opportunity to seek advice (if necessary) and the right to be accompanied and supported in any interviews or meetings
- ◆ the opportunity to identify any adjustments to meeting arrangements so that they can fully and fairly participate
- ◆ the opportunity to consider their response to the concern raised about them (if required)
- ◆ the opportunity to submit a written statement
- ◆ a written response providing the candidate with the outcome of the investigation
- ◆ information on the applicable [SQA appeals procedure](#), if a decision is made against them

The safeguarding of learners involved in an investigation is important. Where safeguarding concerns are identified these should be escalated using your centre's safeguarding procedures.

During an investigation, the conduct of the candidate in other assessments or examinations should not be taken into account in reaching a finding of malpractice. However, if it is established that the candidate has engaged in malpractice, any previous findings of malpractice against the same candidate may be taken into account for the purposes of determining the appropriate penalty.

We also reserve the right to conduct a malpractice investigation ourselves. We may investigate concerns of malpractice directly in cases where we take the view:

- ◆ that a centre does not have the capacity to conduct an investigation

- ◆ that a centre's interests may impede its ability to carry out a truly impartial investigation, or
- ◆ that the nature of the concern is very serious

We may also initiate a direct investigation at a later stage when we decide that an investigation led by a centre or third party has not been completed in line with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#), or has left questions unanswered.

Where SQA investigates a concern of malpractice directly, the candidate will be provided with an opportunity to review the investigation findings to comment on their factual accuracy.

SQA expects centres to bring concerns about candidate malpractice in internal assessments to our attention only in the following circumstances:

- ◆ The concern came to your attention after submission of internal assessment marks or results.
- ◆ The concern relates to candidate malpractice for a qualification regulated by SQA Accreditation.
- ◆ A candidate affected by your candidate malpractice decision has exhausted their right of appeal within the centre, and wishes to exercise their right of appeal to SQA. The right to appeal to SQA is discussed [in part D](#).
- ◆ There are other exceptional circumstances — for example if you believe that the malpractice case involves a criminal act.

Additional requirements for candidate malpractice — only for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation

- ◆ For those SQA qualifications that are subject to regulation by SQA Accreditation, you are required to report any suspected cases of candidate malpractice to SQA (where it is acting as an awarding body). For regulated qualifications, candidate malpractice concerns should be reported promptly to: candidate.malpracticeHNVQ@sqa.org.uk.
- ◆ You must provide SQA with a report of its investigation findings and any recommended actions, supported by relevant documentation. SQA and centres should keep such candidate malpractice investigation records for six years where the investigation relates to these regulated qualifications.
- ◆ In a case of alleged fraud or serious threat to the integrity of certification for regulated qualifications, SQA, as an awarding body, is required to escalate the matter to the appropriate regulatory body. The centre should be aware that SQA Accreditation reserves the right to conduct its own investigation. SQA and our centres would be required to co-operate in full, providing information and taking the appropriate action after the regulator's investigation.
- ◆ You must ensure that candidates and staff are aware of the candidate's additional right to ask the regulator to conduct a review of the case once they have exhausted their right of appeal within the centre.

Centre malpractice concerns arising from candidate malpractice investigations in internal assessments

Where you identify a new concern of possible centre malpractice (for example, excessive direction by assessors) in the course of investigating a concern of candidate

malpractice, you should apply the [procedures for responding to centre malpractice \(section C3\)](#). The first steps in these circumstances are for your centre to carry out an initial screening and to report the concern to SQA.

Similarly, where SQA or a nominated third party identifies a new concern of potential centre malpractice in the course of investigating a concern of candidate malpractice, the procedures for responding to centre malpractice will be applied.

External assessment

Most SQA external assessments relate to our National Qualifications. These procedures will rarely apply to our Higher National or vocational qualifications as very few of these have externally-marked elements.

If your centre identifies a concern that there may have been candidate malpractice in relation to an SQA externally-assessed folio, project, coursework piece or online assessment, the appropriate procedure depends on what stage has been reached:

- ◆ If the assessment materials have not yet been submitted to SQA, you should investigate and resolve the issue in line with your centre or local authority malpractice procedures. The assessment materials should not be submitted to SQA for marking.
- ◆ If the external assessment materials have already been submitted to SQA, you should notify SQA as soon as you have carried out an initial screening exercise to establish the nature of the concerns.

Candidate malpractice in external assessments for National Qualifications

If you identify candidate malpractice concerns with SQA external assessments after the assessment materials have been submitted to SQA, you should report this promptly to SQA in writing or by telephone:

- ◆ Email: investigation.enquiries@sqa.org.uk
- ◆ Tel: 0345 213 6741

SQA also instructs appointees carrying out external assessment and quality assurance activities for us to highlight any concerns of possible malpractice at the earliest opportunity. There are procedures in place for invigilators, markers, verifiers and other appointees to bring these concerns to our attention.

We will review the information available to evaluate any potential risk to the integrity of certification. We may suspend candidate registration and/or certification as a precautionary measure if this is necessary. We may then ask you to investigate the concerns further within your centre in line with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#). On occasion SQA may use plagiarism/AI detection software to help inform our decision on whether to ask you to investigate concerns within your centre.

Centre procedures for candidate malpractice investigations (external assessment)

SQA requires centres to have policies and procedures to investigate concerns of possible candidate malpractice appropriately within the centre. These should include:

Before a malpractice concern arises and throughout an investigation

- ◆ Ensuring that all candidates and staff are aware of your centre's policies on malpractice, and their responsibilities and rights during and following an investigation into possible malpractice, including their rights of appeal.

When the centre becomes aware of the potential malpractice

- ◆ Where assessment materials have already been submitted to SQA, carrying out an initial screening to establish the nature of the concern and notifying SQA of any concerns of possible malpractice in external assessments immediately afterwards.
- ◆ Ensuring that no candidate results that are under investigation are submitted to SQA during the course of the investigation.
- ◆ Conducting any investigation in accordance with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#).

Conduct of the investigation

Candidates who are under investigation for potential malpractice should be provided with:

- ◆ information about the concern raised about them and the supporting evidence
- ◆ information about the possible consequences if malpractice is established
- ◆ the opportunity to seek advice (if necessary), and the right to be accompanied and supported in any interviews or meetings
- ◆ the opportunity to identify any adjustments to meeting arrangements so that they can fully and fairly participate
- ◆ the opportunity to consider their response to the concern raised about them (if required)
- ◆ the opportunity to submit a written statement
- ◆ a written response providing the candidate with the outcome of the investigation
- ◆ information on the applicable [SQA appeals procedure](#), if a decision is made against them

During an investigation, the conduct of the candidate in other examinations or assessments should not be taken into account in reaching a finding of malpractice. However, after investigation, if it is established that the candidate has engaged in malpractice, any previous findings of malpractice against the same candidate may be taken into account by the SQA malpractice panel for the purposes of determining the appropriate penalty.

Following the investigation, a full written report should be provided to SQA, accompanied by supporting documentation as appropriate. The report should include:

- ◆ a statement of the facts and a detailed account of the circumstances relating to the malpractice concerns
- ◆ details of any investigation undertaken by the centre
- ◆ written statements from relevant candidates or staff members, as appropriate
- ◆ details of any mitigating factors
- ◆ candidate seat numbers (if applicable)
- ◆ details of unauthorised material found in the assessment environment
- ◆ any work of the candidate and any associated material (for example, source materials for coursework) that is relevant to the investigation

There is a tight timetable between completion of these assessments and candidate certification, and centres often have a summer break during this period. These

investigations should therefore be completed as quickly as possible. If centres can gather and return information requested by SQA quickly and completely, this will reduce the risk of delayed certification for candidates.

You should ensure that your investigation addresses all relevant points, and that you enclose full supporting documentation to reduce the risk of incorrect or delayed candidate certification. Please note that SQA will not consider evidence about a candidate's intent or character as relevant mitigating factors.

It may happen that you have not completed a candidate malpractice investigation before the end of term, or that the appeal period against a finding of malpractice runs beyond the end of term. In such cases, SQA will ask your head of centre to nominate an individual to contact over the summer, if required. This will enable SQA to conclude any incomplete investigations, or to progress any outstanding or new appeals over the summer break.

SQA may seek further clarification of the report. At the conclusion of the investigation, SQA will refer the matter to an [SQA malpractice panel](#).

Centre malpractice concerns arising from candidate malpractice investigations in external assessments

Where you identify a new concern of possible centre malpractice (for example, excessive direction by assessors) in the course of investigating a concern about candidate malpractice, you should apply the [procedures for responding to centre malpractice \(section C3\)](#). The first steps in these circumstances are for your centre to complete any outstanding candidate malpractice documentation with your findings, and carry out an initial screening to report the concern to SQA. This includes any concerns where you have judged that no further action is necessary.

Similarly, where SQA itself, or a nominated third party, identifies a new concern of possible centre malpractice in the course of investigating a concern about candidate malpractice, the procedures for responding to centre malpractice will be applied.

B4 Referral to the malpractice panel

The following procedure applies when SQA refers a matter to a malpractice panel.

The malpractice panel is composed of experienced members of SQA staff who have significant expertise in assessment and quality assurance. The panel will determine the outcome in cases of potential candidate malpractice referred to it by SQA officers leading an investigation. Candidates or representatives from centres cannot attend the meeting of the panel.

SQA officers leading an investigation and members of the panel must be independent. If an SQA officer or panel member has personal knowledge of a case, or could be said to have some interest in it, this could lead to claims that the panel has been biased. In such a case, that SQA officer or panel member will take no part in the discussion of the case, and will not be present when the panel discusses the matter.

To arrive at a decision on a case, the malpractice panel:

- ◆ considers all relevant factors and disregards all irrelevant factors

- ◆ determines whether correct procedures have been followed in the investigation of the case
- ◆ decides whether the panel requires more time or information to consider the case
- ◆ identifies the criteria alleged to have been compromised
- ◆ considers the investigation findings and supporting documents
- ◆ considers any submission made by the candidate who is the subject of the investigation
- ◆ decides, based on the information available, whether malpractice has occurred
- ◆ establishes who is responsible if criteria have been compromised
- ◆ determines an appropriate level of penalty, sanction, or remedial or preventative action to be applied to safeguard the integrity of certification; and
- ◆ issues a written decision, based on its consideration of the facts of the case, giving reasons for the decision

Please note that SQA will not consider evidence about a candidate's alleged intent or character as a relevant factor.

In reaching the conclusion that there has been malpractice, the panel must be satisfied on the balance of probabilities (ie that it is more likely than not) that malpractice occurred.

SQA will seek to protect candidates who are caught up in a malpractice incident through no fault of their own. However, where there is evidence of malpractice in the delivery of a qualification, SQA may decline to accept the work of these candidates, to protect the integrity of the qualification for the majority.

Outcome of malpractice panel

In the event of candidate malpractice being identified, SQA's malpractice panel will take appropriate action to:

- ◆ minimise the risk to the integrity of certification now and in the future
- ◆ maintain public confidence in the delivery and awarding of qualifications
- ◆ discourage others from engaging in similar malpractice
- ◆ ensure no one has gained from malpractice
- ◆ in dealing with invalid certification, minimise any disadvantage to candidates not found to be at fault; and
- ◆ in the case of vocational qualifications that are subject to regulation, advise SQA Accreditation of the outcome

If SQA decides to take further action following the outcome of the investigation, this will be based only on the relevant available evidence. Penalties may be applied at centre or individual level (or both). SQA's decision must be justifiable and proportionate in the circumstances. We discuss the types of action that SQA may take against centres and individuals later in this document.

Where the malpractice panel has made a finding of malpractice, the range of penalties (see also [Appendix 1](#)) includes, but is not limited to:

- ◆ a written warning
- ◆ revision of marks
- ◆ cancellation of components or awards
- ◆ disqualification from future entries

◆ revocation of candidate certificates

All penalties must be justifiable, reasonable in scale and consistent in their application. Unless a penalty is accompanied by a bar on future entry, all candidates penalised by loss of marks or cancellation may retake the affected qualification(s) at the next examination diet or available opportunity.

SQA will inform your head of centre or the third party (where appropriate) of the panel's decision in writing, stating its reasons. We will do this within **20 working days** of reaching that decision. Your head of centre or the third party must then communicate the decision and stated reasons to candidate(s) and their representative(s). Relevant centre staff should also be informed.

When notified of SQA's decision on the matter, you must implement any required actions for your centre that we have identified in our decision letter and/or Notification of Penalty Form. This will include passing on to individuals any warnings or notifications of penalties issued by SQA, and returning a completed Notification of Penalty Form to SQA to confirm that candidates have been advised of the decision.

Next steps

Part C of this document explains how SQA will approach concerns of potential centre malpractice and describes SQA's expectations of centres. Part D explains the procedures for appealing centre and SQA malpractice panel decisions about candidate malpractice. Part E explains how SQA requires centres to retain records relating to malpractice.

Part C: Centre malpractice

C1 Introduction

This part of the document explains how SQA will approach concerns of potential centre malpractice, and describes SQA's expectations of centres. It provides examples of centre malpractice, and describes ways in which centre malpractice concerns can be identified by SQA. It explains the procedures to be followed in responding to concerns of potential centre malpractice.

SQA requires centres to bring any concerns of centre malpractice to our attention as soon as the centre has carried out an initial screening exercise to establish the nature of the concern. This includes any concerns where the centre has judged that no further action is necessary.

In addition, SQA requires centres to promptly bring to our attention any findings of centre malpractice or maladministration communicated to them by another awarding or industry body. Centres must notify SQA promptly if another awarding body removes approval from the centre, regardless of the reason given for this withdrawal. This will allow us to assess any risk to SQA qualifications offered by the centre.

C2 Centre malpractice

Our definition of malpractice is explained fully in part A of this document. Centre malpractice includes any type of malpractice by a centre, or someone acting on its behalf (for example an assessor). Centre malpractice does not need to be intentional malpractice. It may take place unintentionally (which is sometimes known as maladministration).

Malpractice can include both maladministration in the assessment and delivery of SQA qualifications, and deliberate non-compliance with SQA requirements.

Whether intentional or not, it is necessary to investigate any potential malpractice concerns to protect the integrity of the qualification and to identify any wider lessons to be learned.

When there are concerns of possible centre malpractice, SQA's approach to investigation is thorough, fair and in proportion to the degree of risk to the integrity of our qualifications. This means it can take some time for investigations to reach a conclusion. Centres can help minimise the time required by responding promptly and fully to any SQA requests for information, access to systems or to speak with centre staff or candidates.

These procedures will be applied where SQA feels there is a risk to the integrity of certification which is not being successfully managed through our regular processes such as verification.

Examples of centre malpractice include (but are not limited to):

- ◆ Managers or others exerting undue pressure on staff to pass candidates who have not met the requirements for an award.
- ◆ Deliberate falsification of records in order to claim certificates.

- ◆ Excessive direction from assessors to candidates on how to meet national standards.
- ◆ Failure to assess internally assessed unit or course assessment work fairly, consistently and in line with national standards.
- ◆ Failure to comply with SQA requirements in the preparation, quality assurance and submission of estimated grade information.
- ◆ Failure to apply specified SQA assessment conditions in assessments, such as limits on resources or time available to candidates to complete their assessments, including any amendments to permitted conditions.
- ◆ Misuse of assessments, including repeated re-assessment contrary to requirements, or inappropriate adjustments to assessment decisions.
- ◆ Failure to recognise and apply appropriate measures to manage potential conflict of interest in assessment or quality assurance.
- ◆ Failure to apply appropriate processes to ensure fairness in the provision of assessment arrangements.
- ◆ Failure to comply with SQA requirements in relation to appeals processes.
- ◆ Insecure storage, transmission or use of assessment instruments, materials and marking instructions, resulting in a breach of assessment security.
- ◆ Failure to comply with requirements for safe retention of candidate evidence, and safe and accurate maintenance of assessment and internal verification records.
- ◆ Failure to comply with SQA's procedures for managing and transferring accurate candidate data.
- ◆ Failing to register candidates within a qualification's accreditation period.
- ◆ Making late registrations to the awarding body for qualifications in their lapsing period.
- ◆ Requesting late certification of learners after the certification end date.
- ◆ For all SQA qualifications, failure by a centre to promptly notify, investigate and report concerns of potential centre malpractice to SQA.
- ◆ Failure to promptly notify SQA of a finding of centre malpractice, maladministration or an equivalent or similar finding by another awarding organisation.
- ◆ Withholding information about circumstances that may compromise the integrity of any SQA qualification or the credibility of SQA.
- ◆ Failure to notify SQA promptly if another awarding body removes approval from the centre, regardless of the reason given for this withdrawal.
- ◆ Failure to take action required by SQA or to co-operate with an SQA investigation into concerns of malpractice.
- ◆ For qualifications subject to regulation by SQA Accreditation, failure by a centre to notify, investigate and report to SQA concerns of potential candidate malpractice.

Concerns of centre malpractice can come to SQA's attention through various routes, including:

- ◆ notification by a centre that it has identified an internal concern of possible malpractice
- ◆ a direct approach from a concerned candidate, parent/carer, centre employee or member of the public
- ◆ professionals from other centres, awarding organisations or industry bodies concerned that standards are not being applied consistently

SQA also instructs appointees carrying out external assessment and quality assurance activities for SQA to highlight any concerns of possible malpractice at the earliest opportunity. There are procedures in place for invigilators, markers, verifiers and other appointees to bring concerns to SQA's attention.

SQA investigations of potential centre malpractice are evidence-based. SQA's ability to fully investigate concerns of potential centre malpractice will normally depend on the availability of assessment and quality assurance records held by the centre, in line with SQA's evidence retention requirements. Therefore, it is important that centres have policies and procedures in place to allow for investigations to be carried out efficiently and to facilitate SQA's investigations.

C3 Procedure for responding to concerns of centre malpractice

This section explains the steps to be taken by SQA and our centres in responding to concerns of centre malpractice, whether these relate to internal or external assessment.

- ◆ **Internal assessment:** an assessment for an SQA qualification where the assessment judgement is made within the centre. Internal assessments are subject to both internal verification by the centre and external verification by SQA. This includes assessments that are externally set but internally marked, as well as the determination within the centre of estimated grade information for SQA qualifications.
- ◆ **External assessment:** an assessment set and marked by SQA examiners. Most SQA external assessments relate to our National Qualifications. These procedures will rarely apply to our vocational qualifications as very few of these have externally-marked elements.
- ◆ Where the assessment is set by SQA but marked by the centre (including e-marking of online assessments), procedures for dealing with malpractice in internal assessments apply.

There are some additional requirements where the concern relates to a qualification regulated by SQA Accreditation. These regulated qualifications include SVQs and other qualifications that assess knowledge, understanding and occupational competence in the workplace. These do not include SQA National Qualifications or Higher National Qualifications. The [additional requirements for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation](#) are explained on page 21.

Reporting concerns of centre malpractice to SQA

You must bring any concerns of centre malpractice to our attention, as soon as you have carried out an initial screening exercise to establish the nature of the concern, in writing or by telephoning SQA:

- ◆ E-mail: malpractice@sqa.org.uk
- ◆ Tel: 0345 279 1000

You should always notify SQA of centre malpractice concerns and the outcome of any decision made by your centre as a result of its initial screening exercise. This includes any concerns where the centre has judged that no further action is necessary. This notification should be made as soon as possible after it becomes aware of the malpractice concern or the decision is made.

In addition, SQA requires centres to promptly bring to our attention any findings of centre malpractice or maladministration communicated to them by another awarding or

industry body. This will allow us to assess any risk to SQA qualifications offered by the centre.

Once SQA has been notified of the possible assessment malpractice, SQA's response to any concern of possible assessment malpractice may include up to three stages: initial screening, investigation and decision.

The detailed steps to be taken within each of these broad stages, and relative responsibilities for SQA and our centres, may vary depending on the nature of the malpractice concern, and the type of assessment affected.

Our initial screening

When a concern with assessment practice is brought to SQA's attention, whether by your centre or another source, we will review all the information available to evaluate any potential risk to the integrity of certification. This may include, for example, previous quality assurance activity, candidate entries and results data, publicly available information about the centre and its staff, and any earlier concerns known to SQA. We may apply a precautionary suspension of candidate registration, certification and access to SQA systems if this is necessary.

Where a concern of malpractice is brought to SQA's attention by someone other than the centre, we will take steps to establish the merits of the concern. This may include:

- ◆ contacting the individuals affected (if contact details are provided), seeking permission to use their names
- ◆ sending the details of the concern to the centre
- ◆ finding out whether relevant internal procedures within the centre have been exhausted

If we do not obtain permission to use the names of the individuals affected, and the concern merits investigation, SQA will advise these individuals that the scope of any investigation may be impaired and that we will seek to preserve their anonymity in bringing the matter to the attention of the head of centre. SQA manages investigations of potential centre malpractice discreetly, and we will normally discuss the progress and outcome of a centre malpractice investigation only with the head of centre or their nominee.

Where contact details are not available, SQA will evaluate the information received. If the information describes potential malpractice with sufficient clarity, SQA will consider whether further investigation is appropriate.

We will then decide the most appropriate next step, which may include:

- ◆ commissioning the centre to investigate further, in line with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#)
- ◆ commissioning a third party to investigate further
- ◆ investigating directly
- ◆ notifying relevant regulators or other government agencies where appropriate
- ◆ informal action
- ◆ no further action

Investigation

Where we decide that further investigation is necessary, we may ask the centre or a third party to investigate on our behalf. The investigation should be completed in line with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#).

Centre procedures for centre malpractice investigations

If we ask you to investigate, you should undertake the following actions:

- ◆ Ensure all candidates and staff are aware of your policy on malpractice, their responsibilities, and their rights during and following an investigation into concerns of possible malpractice, such as their rights of appeal.
- ◆ Familiarise yourself with, and follow, SQA's procedures for amending results data and returning certificates. You must tell SQA about invalid certificates immediately.
- ◆ Complete an investigation in accordance with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#).
- ◆ Ensure that candidate results relevant to the investigation are not submitted to SQA during the course of the investigation.
- ◆ Provide SQA with a report of your findings and any recommended actions in relation to assessment and quality assurance for SQA qualifications, supported by relevant documentation.
- ◆ Review your internal quality assurance procedures to minimise the risk of future malpractice, and implement any required corrective actions.
- ◆ Take appropriate action, in line with your own policies and/ or in discussion with SQA, if any safeguarding issues arise.
- ◆ Report the matter to SQA, and to the police, immediately if you consider, before or during the investigation, that a malpractice case involves a criminal act.
- ◆ When notified of SQA's decision on the matter (subject to any successful appeal), implement any required actions for the centre identified in our decision letter.

Individuals who are under investigation for potential malpractice should be provided with:

- ◆ information about the concern raised about them and information about the evidence there is to support that concern
- ◆ information about the possible consequences if malpractice is established
- ◆ the opportunity to seek advice (as necessary) and the right to be accompanied and supported in any interviews or meetings
- ◆ the opportunity to identify any adjustments to meeting arrangements so that they can fully and fairly participate
- ◆ appropriate time to consider their response to the concern raised about them (if required)
- ◆ the opportunity to submit a written statement
- ◆ a written response providing the individuals with the outcome of the investigation
- ◆ information on any applicable appeals procedure

The full written report provided to SQA should be accompanied by supporting documentation as appropriate, which may include:

- ◆ a statement of the facts and a detailed account of the circumstances relating to the malpractice concerns
- ◆ details of any investigation undertaken by the centre
- ◆ written statements from relevant candidates or staff members as appropriate
- ◆ details of any mitigating factors

- ◆ any work of the candidates and any associated material (for example, source materials for coursework) that is relevant to the investigation

Including this supporting documentation is important to minimise the time taken to progress SQA's investigation.

Supporting an SQA or third party centre malpractice investigation

We also reserve the right to conduct a malpractice investigation ourselves. We may investigate concerns of malpractice directly in cases where we take the view that a centre does not have the capacity to conduct an investigation, that a centre's interests may impede its ability to carry out a truly impartial investigation, or that the nature of the concern is very serious.

We may also initiate a direct investigation at a later stage if we decide that an investigation led by a centre or third party has not been completed in line with [Malpractice: Standards for Devolved Investigations](#) or has left questions unanswered.

If we notify you that we have decided to investigate directly, or to ask a third party to investigate on our behalf, you should provide all reasonable assistance and information to enable us or the third party to carry out the investigation. SQA will determine the scope and sequence of its investigation to enable it to establish the degree to which SQA requirements have been met by the centre. Your head of centre should ensure that SQA officers are provided with all relevant information promptly during the investigation.

Withholding information, deliberately or otherwise, may impede SQA's ability to make a fair decision when considering the investigation findings. Your head of centre will be provided with an opportunity to review the investigation findings to comment on their factual accuracy.

These investigations should be completed as quickly as possible, particularly where the concerns of centre malpractice relate to qualifications that are part of the annual diet of certification, or where there has been a precautionary suspension of registration and/or certification. If centres prioritise a complete and timely response to any SQA request for information, this will reduce the risk of delayed certification for candidates. Responses should therefore address all relevant points and enclose complete supporting documentation.

With qualifications within the annual diet of certification, it may happen that a centre has not completed a malpractice investigation before the end of term, or that the appeal period against a finding of malpractice may run beyond the end of term. In such cases, SQA will ask the head of centre to nominate an individual to contact over the break if required. This will enable SQA to conclude any incomplete investigations, or to progress any outstanding or new appeals over the summer break.

Decision

At the conclusion of the investigation, SQA will make a decision on any further action to take, which might include referral to a malpractice panel. There may be instances where the concern of malpractice falls within the remit of another SQA policy — for instance the financial irregularity policy. In those circumstances, any further action may be taken under that policy rather than this policy.

In cases where SQA decides that the investigation should be referred to a malpractice panel, the detailed procedures that apply are explained in the next section of this document ([section C4](#)).

Additional requirements for centre malpractice — only for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation

SQA is required to report concerns of centre malpractice and to provide information relating to our investigation and its outcome to SQA Accreditation.

SQA and centres should keep centre malpractice investigation records, as specified above, for six years where the investigation relates to these regulated qualifications.

In a case of alleged fraud or serious threat to the integrity of certification for regulated qualifications, SQA is required to escalate the matter to the appropriate regulatory body. The centre should be aware that SQA Accreditation reserves the right to conduct its own investigation. SQA and the centre would be required to co-operate, providing information and taking the appropriate action after the regulator's investigation.

The centre may have an additional right, when it has exhausted its right of appeal to SQA as awarding body, to request the regulator to conduct a review of the case.

C4 Referral to the malpractice panel

The following procedure applies when SQA decides to refer a matter to a malpractice panel.

The malpractice panel is composed of experienced members of SQA staff who have significant expertise in assessment and quality assurance. The panel determines the outcome in cases of possible malpractice referred to it by SQA. Representatives from centres cannot attend the meeting of the panel.

SQA officers leading an investigation and members of the panel must be independent. If an SQA officer or panel member has personal knowledge of a case, or could be said to have some interest in it, this could lead to claims that the panel has been biased. In such a case, that SQA officer or panel member will take no part in the discussion of the case, and will not be present when the panel discusses the matter.

To arrive at a decision on a case, the malpractice panel:

- ◆ determines whether correct procedures have been followed in the investigation
- ◆ decides whether the panel requires more time or information to consider the case
- ◆ identifies the criteria alleged to have been compromised
- ◆ considers the investigation findings and supporting documents
- ◆ considers any submission made by the centre that is the subject of the investigation
- ◆ considers any response from the centre where SQA has investigated directly
- ◆ decides, based on the information available, whether malpractice has occurred
- ◆ determines appropriate measures to be applied to safeguard the integrity of certification; and
- ◆ issues a written decision, based on its consideration of the facts of the case, giving reasons for the decision

In reaching the conclusion that there has been malpractice, the panel must be satisfied on the balance of probabilities (that it is more likely than not) that malpractice occurred.

Outcome of malpractice panel proceedings

In the event of malpractice being identified, SQA's malpractice panel will take appropriate action to:

- ◆ minimise the risk to the integrity of certification now and in the future
- ◆ maintain public confidence in the delivery and awarding of qualifications
- ◆ discourage others from engaging in similar malpractice
- ◆ ensure no one has gained from malpractice
- ◆ in dealing with invalid certification, minimise any disadvantage to candidates not found to be at fault
- ◆ in the case of vocational qualifications that are subject to regulation, advise SQA Accreditation of the outcome
- ◆ if appropriate, share information about our investigation with other public bodies (for example skills funding bodies) or regulators

If SQA decides to take further action following the outcome of the investigation, this will be based only on the relevant available evidence. Measures to safeguard the integrity of certification may be applied at centre level. SQA will endeavour to protect candidates who, through no fault of their own, are caught up in a malpractice incident. It should be noted however that where there is evidence of malpractice in the delivery of a qualification, SQA may decline to accept the work of the candidates to protect the integrity of the qualification for the majority.

Furthermore, in order to maintain the integrity of certification, a finding of centre malpractice related to assessment may also exceptionally lead to adjustments to candidate results, or to marks that may, in turn, affect provisional or certificated awards.

SQA's decision must be justifiable and proportionate in the circumstances.

Where the malpractice panel has made a finding of centre malpractice, the range of measures to safeguard the integrity of certification includes, but is not limited to:

- ◆ a written warning
- ◆ additional support or development activity
- ◆ a requirement that the centre prepares an action plan and provides updates to give assurance that potential for future malpractice has been minimised
- ◆ additional external quality assurance activity
- ◆ application of required actions to enable certification to proceed
- ◆ withdrawal of approval to offer specific qualifications
- ◆ withdrawal of centre approval status
- ◆ requirement for re-assessment of candidates
- ◆ exceptionally, amendment to candidate results and/or revocation of certificates

SQA will inform your head of centre or the third party of the panel's decision in writing, stating its reasons. We will do this within **20 working days** of reaching that decision. Your head of centre or the third party should then communicate the decision to relevant candidates and/or centre staff.

A finding of centre malpractice is, by definition, only ever made in respect of a centre. This finding does not represent a judgement on the performance or conduct of an individual teacher or assessor. Any employment, disciplinary procedures or referrals to professional regulators (for example General Teaching Council for Scotland) in relation to individual conduct are matters to be considered by an individual's employer, and not SQA.

The head of centre should take cognisance of the panel decision, taking further appropriate action as they deem necessary, and/or as SQA may require.

Next steps

Part D of this document explains the procedures for appealing SQA malpractice panel decisions about centre malpractice. Part E explains how SQA requires centres to retain records relating to malpractice.

Part D: Appeals against malpractice decisions

D1 Appeals against decisions about candidate malpractice

It is your centre's responsibility to advise candidates that they have the right to appeal a decision where a concern of candidate malpractice has been upheld. Candidates should be aware of your centre's internal appeals process, and that this includes the right to appeal to SQA after your internal appeals process has been exhausted.

Candidates, or their authorised representatives, have a right to appeal to SQA where:

- ◆ the centre has conducted its own investigation and the candidate disagrees with the outcome, and the centre's internal appeals process has been exhausted
- ◆ an SQA malpractice panel finds that candidate malpractice has been identified and the candidate disagrees

The available grounds for appeal and procedures to be followed are described in SQA's [The Appeals Process: Information for Centres](#).

D2 Appeals against decisions about centre malpractice

If your centre disagrees with a finding of centre malpractice, it can appeal. The head of centre (who may not, in this case, be represented by a nominee) will have the right to appeal.

A finding of centre malpractice is, by definition, only ever made in respect of a centre. This finding is not a judgement on the performance or conduct of an individual teacher or assessor. It follows that an individual teacher or assessor (other than the head of centre acting on behalf of the centre) cannot appeal against a finding of centre malpractice. The right to appeal is held by the centre against which the finding has been made. Should an individual teacher or assessor have concerns about a finding of centre malpractice, this matter should be resolved and discussed within the centre.

The available grounds for appeal and procedures to be followed are described in SQA's [The Appeals Process: Information for Centres](#).

D3 Additional appeal procedures — for qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation

Regulated qualifications

For those qualifications that are subject to regulation, such as Scottish Vocational Qualifications, and other qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation, centres and candidates have the right to request a review of the awarding body's process in reaching their decision from SQA Accreditation.

The procedures to be followed for each regulator are described in SQA's [The Appeals Process: Information for Centres](#).

D4 Complaints

Following the conclusion of an SQA appeals process, any formal complaint about SQA's administration of the case can be submitted according to the SQA Awarding Body Complaints Handling Procedure that is described on our website (www.sqa.org.uk). This can include raising matters with the Scottish Public Service Ombudsman, following the conclusion of SQA's Awarding Body Complaints Handling Procedure.

Part E: Retaining records relating to malpractice

E1 Retaining records relating to malpractice

This part of the document explains how SQA will retain records about malpractice, and our expectations that centres keep these records for a period of time.

Records retention

In conducting an investigation, centres and others (for example local authorities) are required to retain the following records and documentation for three years, or six years if the investigation relates to vocational qualifications regulated by SQA Accreditation. We may ask to see these records.

Records should include:

- ◆ a report containing a statement of the facts, a detailed account of the circumstances of the alleged malpractice, and details of any investigations carried out by the centre into the malpractice concern
- ◆ written statements from the centre staff and candidates involved — these should normally be signed by the writer and dated
- ◆ any work of the candidates and internal assessment or verification records relevant to the investigation
- ◆ details of any remedial action you have identified as necessary to ensure the integrity of certification now and in the future

In an investigation involving a potential criminal prosecution or civil claim, records and documentation should be retained for six years after the case and any appeal has been heard. If you are any doubt about whether criminal or civil proceedings will take place, you should keep records for the full six-year period.

E2 Retaining SQA centre malpractice panel decisions

SQA will retain a record of decisions by its centre malpractice panel for six years after conclusion of the investigation and any appeal, to inform future centre approval and quality assurance decisions.

E3 Retaining SQA candidate malpractice panel decisions

SQA will retain a record of decisions by its candidate malpractice panel where a penalty has been applied for six years after conclusion of the investigation and any appeal, to inform future quality assurance decisions.

Data protection

When centres engage with issues around malpractice, they may be subject to data protection law.

Centres conducting investigations and engaging with concerns of malpractice should comply with data protection law in their jurisdiction.

SQA is not responsible for the data processing or management practices of any centres, or for ensuring compliance with the data protection law that applies to a centre in their particular jurisdiction. If a centre is liable for non-compliance with relevant data protection law for any reason (including in respect of this SQA policy), SQA will not be liable for any loss or damage arising from that non-compliance.

Appendix 1: Examples of penalties for candidate malpractice in SQA external assessments

Please note that these examples are provided for illustrative purposes only. They do not set a precedent or limit SQA's discretion in determining the appropriate penalty to apply in individual cases.

Category	Warning	Warning with revision of marks	Cancel component or award	De-bar
Assessment conditions				
Breach of assessment conditions		X	X	
Collusion				
Significant amount copied in one or more of the contributing pieces			X	
Candidate shared his/her own work with another candidate	X			
Candidates worked collaboratively in one or more of the contributing pieces		X	X	
Falsification of certificate				
Falsified certificate or other result documentation				X ¹
Frivolous content				
Significant amount	X		X	
Minor amount	X			
Misconduct				
Causes disturbance/disruption	X		X	

Category	Warning	Warning with revision of marks	Cancel component or award	De-bar
Abusive and/or aggressive behaviour			X	
Offensive content				
Discriminatory content — any amount	X		X	
Significant amount — non-discriminatory			X	
Minor amount — non-discriminatory	X			
Personation				
Identities proven			X ¹	X ²
Plagiarism				
Significant amount of plagiarism in one or more of the contributing pieces		X	X	
Prohibited items (including mobile phone)				
Prohibited item at candidate's allocated seat in exam room			X	

¹ All current entries cancelled.

² De-bar lasts for a defined period (for example one year, two years) — SQA will not accept any future entries for the candidate for the defined period following the application of the de-bar penalty. Current entries will also be cancelled.