

# NQ verification 2022–23 round 2

# **Qualification verification summary report**

# Section 1: verification group information

Verification group name:	Business Management
Verification activity:	Event
Date published:	June 2023

#### **National Units verified**

Unit code	Unit level	Unit title
J1YX 75	SCQF level 5	Understanding Business
J200 75	SCQF level 5	Management of Marketing and Operations
J1YY 75	SCQF level 5	Management of People and Finance
J229 76	SCQF level 6	Understanding Business
J22C 76	SCQF level 6	Management of Marketing and Operations

## Section 2: comments on assessment

#### Assessment approaches

All centres demonstrated a very good understanding of the assessment standards and used SQA's unit assessment support packs.

There were no centres 'not accepted' during this verification round.

Centres are now recognising the value of robust systems for recording and organising the documentation required to show candidate outcomes.

#### Assessment judgements

Centres should ensure they refer to the 'Making assessment judgements' and the 'Commentary on assessment judgements' columns in the judging evidence tables for guidance. On a few occasions, what centres accepted as evidence for some outcomes, was too lenient.

For both SCQF level 5 and SCQF level 6 units, some centres are not indicating clearly where outcomes are met. This makes it very difficult to verify the judgements made. Centres should record judgements using brackets, ticks and a pass in the margin, to clearly show that outcomes are met.

Both levels were well assessed, with some fantastic examples of candidates demonstrating more than minimum requirements to pass outcomes.

All centres had evidence of internal verification activity — this shows that they are now recognising the need for a robust verification process. Many centres are now including verification sample forms showing discussions between markers and internal verifiers, and how the final marks were decided. This is an excellent example of good practice.

Centres should make a note of any verbal re-assessment and write down the candidate's verbal response as evidence.

## **Section 3: general comments**

Centres should provide full documentation (including centre-devised marking grids, where available), as this makes the verification process more efficient.

Internal verification should continue as an example of good practice, as this helps to ensure the final judgement submitted by the centre is accurate and clear.

On the whole, the vast majority of centres provided a clear and detailed verification sample, following SQA guidelines.