



# **NQ Graphic Communication Qualification Verification Summary Report 2024–25**

## **Section 1: verification group information**

<b>Verification group name:</b>	Graphic Communication
<b>Verification activity:</b>	Event
<b>Round:</b>	1
<b>Date published:</b>	June 2025

## **National Units verified**

<b>Unit code</b>	<b>Unit level</b>	<b>Unit title</b>
H27V 74	National 4	2D Graphic Communication
H27W 74	National 4	3D and Pictorial Graphic Communication

## Section 2: comments on assessment

### Assessment approaches

Most centres used the existing SQA unit assessment support packs, although some used their own centre-devised unit-by-unit and combined assessments.

Centres must submit sufficient evidence to enable verification of interim evidence.

Guidance on the amount of evidence required is available in the [Verification Submission Guidance \(Units\) document](#).

Typical indicators of sufficient evidence include:

- assessed evidence for most of the assessment standards in a unit
- assessed evidence for assessment standards in two or more combined units
- assessed evidence for most assessment standards in a unit across the sampled candidates

Typical indicators of insufficient evidence include:

- assessed evidence for a minority of assessment standards in a unit
- only incomplete evidence provided for assessment standards

### Assessment judgements

All verified centres correctly applied the national standards to their assessment judgements.

Some centres did not record assessment judgements for some outcomes accurately on their verification paperwork.

## **Section 3: general comments**

All centres had evidence of internal verification. Some centres submitted highly detailed internal verification processes and commentary on final assessment decisions, while others only included counter signatures on their verification paperwork.

The standard of candidate work verified ranged from an acceptable standard to excellent, sometimes well above that required at National 4.



# **NQ Graphic Communication Qualification Verification Summary Report 2024–25**

## **Section 1: verification group information**

<b>Verification group name:</b>	Graphic Communication
<b>Verification activity:</b>	Event
<b>Round:</b>	2
<b>Date published:</b>	June 2025

## **National Units verified**

<b>Unit code</b>	<b>Unit level</b>	<b>Unit title</b>
H27X 74	National 4	Graphic Communication Assignment
H27V 74	National 4	2D Graphic Communication
H27W 74	National 4	3D and Pictorial Graphic Communication

## **Section 2: comments on assessment**

### **Assessment approaches**

All centres verified used one of SQA's unit assessment support (UAS) packs or added value UAS.

One centre used an out-of-date UAS pack. Centres using SQA UAS packs must use the most up-to-date assessments from SQA's secure website. We updated the added value unit in 2023. It now has five assessment standards and candidates must pass four out of five assessment standards to achieve the unit.

### **Assessment judgements**

Most centres' assessment judgements were in line with national standards, reliable, and accepted.

Some centres, however, did not apply the threshold and candidates who achieved four out of five assessment standards incorrectly missed out on the unit.

## **Section 3: general comments**

Most centres provided evidence of internal verification. Some centres provided highly detailed internal verification processes and commentary about how they arrived at their final assessment judgements, which helps with the verification process. Other centres only included counter signatures on their verification paperwork.

The overall standard of work verified ranged from an acceptable standard to excellent, well above that required at National 4.