

NQ Graphic Communication Verification Summary 2025–26

Verification information

Subject	Graphic Communication
Verification activity	Event
Round	1
Date published	July 2026

National Units verified

Unit code	Unit level	Unit title
H27V 74	National 4	2D Graphic Communication
H27W 74	National 4	3D and Pictorial Graphic Communication

Comments on assessment

Assessment approaches

Most centres used our unit assessment support (UAS) packs in a combined approach (package 1) or unit-by-unit approach (package 2). Some centres used their own centre-devised materials in a portfolio of candidate work (package 3).

Some centres devised their own very high-quality assessments, which were set at the correct level.

Centres must submit sufficient evidence to enable verification of interim evidence.

The [Verification Submission Guidance \(Units\) document](#) has guidance on the amount of evidence required.

Assessment judgements

All centres verified correctly applied the national standards to their assessment judgements.

Some centres did not record assessment judgements for some outcomes accurately on their verification paperwork.

General comments

If evidence for assessment standards 1.5 and 2.3 in the 2D Graphic Communication unit and 1.4 and 2.4 in the 3D and Pictorial Graphic Communication unit is oral, centres must submit a transcript of the conversation with the candidate, or other evidence, for external verification.

All centres provided evidence of internal verification. Some centres submitted highly detailed internal verification processes and commentary on final assessment decisions, while others only included counter signatures on their verification paperwork.

The standard of work candidates produced ranged from acceptable to excellent, sometimes well above the requirements of National 4.

NQ Graphic Communication Verification Summary 2025–26

Verification information

Subject	Graphic Communication
Verification activity	Event
Round	2
Date published	July 2026

National Units verified

Unit code	Unit level	Unit title
H27X 74	National 4	Graphic Communication Assignment

Comments on assessment

Assessment approaches

Most centres verified used one of the four added value UAS packs, although some centres amended these or devised their own assessments.

Some centres devised their own very high-quality teaching materials and assessments, which were set at the correct level.

Assessment judgements

All verified centres' assessment judgements were in line with national standards, reliable and accepted.

General comments

All centres provided evidence of internal verification.

Some centres provided highly detailed internal verification processes and commentary about how they arrived at their final assessment judgements. Other centres only included counter signatures on their verification paperwork.

The standard of work candidates produced ranged from acceptable to excellent and, in some cases, was well above the requirements of National 4.